

## Addendum

Attachment 1

Maryland Heights

2010-03-03 10:00

Attachment to PTO/SB/05 (4/98) Utility Patent Application  
Transmittal

1. Soybean Plants with Enhanced Yields and Methods for Breeding for and Screening of Soybean Plants with Enhanced Yields

2007-06-26

**Soybean Plants with Enhanced Yields and Methods for Breeding for and Screening  
of Soybean Plants with Enhanced Yields**

by

Vergel C. Concibido

and

Xavier Delannay

This application claims the benefit of U.S. Provisional Application No.  
60/260,040, filed January 5, 2001.

**Field of the Invention**

The present invention is in the field of plant breeding and genetics, particularly as  
it pertains to *Glycine max* (soybean). More specifically, the invention relates to alleles of  
a quantitative trait locus that are associated with enhanced yield in *Glycine max*, *Glycine*  
*max* plants having such alleles and methods for breeding for and screening of *Glycine*  
*max* plants with such alleles. The invention further relates to the use of exotic *Glycine*  
*max* germplasm in a breeding program.

**Background of the Invention**

The soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merrill (*Glycine max* or soybean), is one of the  
major economic crops grown worldwide as a primary source of vegetable oil and protein  
(Sinclair and Backman, *Compendium of Soybean Diseases*, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. APS Press, St. Paul,  
MN, p. 106. (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The  
growing demand for low cholesterol and high fiber diets has also increased soybean's  
importance as a health food.

Prior to 1940, soybean cultivars were either direct releases of introductions  
brought from Asia or pure line selections from genetically diverse plant introductions.  
The soybean plant was primarily used as a hay crop in the early part of the 19th century.  
Only a few introductions were large-seeded types useful for feed grain and oil production.  
From the mid 1930's through the 1960's, gains in soybean seed yields were achieved by

changing the breeding method from evaluation and selection of introduced germplasm to crossing elite by elite lines. The continuous cycle of cross hybridizing the elite strains selected from the progenies of previous crosses resulted in the modern day cultivars.

Over 10,000 soybean strains have now been introduced into the United States since the early 1900's (Bernard *et al.*, *United States National Germplasm Collections*. In: L.D. Hil (ed.), World Soybean Research, pp. 286-289. Interstate Printers and Publ., Danville, Il. (1976), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). A limited number of those introductions form the genetic base of cultivars developed from the hybridization and selection programs (Johnson and Bernard, *The Soybean*, Norman Ed., Academic Press, N.Y. pp. 1-73 (1963)). For example, in a survey conducted by Specht and Williams, *Genetic Contributions*, Fehr eds. American Soil Association, Wisconsin, pp. 49-73 (1984), for the 136 cultivars released from 1939 to 1989, only 16 different introductions were the source of cytoplasm for 121 of that 136.

Six introductions, 'Mandarin,' 'Manchu,' 'Mandarin' (Ottawa), "Richland," 'AK' (Harrow), and 'Mukden,' contributed nearly 70% of the germplasm represented in 136 cultivar releases. To date, modern day cultivars can be traced back from these six soybean strains from southern China. In a study conducted by Cox *et al.*, *Crop Sci.* 25:529-532 (1988), the soybean germplasm is comprised of 90% adapted materials, 9% unadapted, and only 1% from exotic species.

Marker assisted introgression of traits into plants has been reported. Marker assisted introgression involves the transfer of a chromosome region defined by one or more markers from one germplasm to a second germplasm. An initial step in that process is the localization of the trait by gene mapping. Gene mapping studies to analyze agronomic traits have been reported in many plants including *Glycine max* and *Glycine max* x *Glycine soja*. Gene mapping is the process of determining a gene's position relative to other genes and genetic markers through linkage analysis. The basic principle for linkage mapping is that the closer together two genes are on the chromosome, the more likely they are to be inherited together (Rothwell, *Understanding Genetics*. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Oxford University Press, New York, p. 703 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Briefly, a cross is made between two genetically compatible

but divergent parents relative to traits under study. Genetic markers are then used to follow the segregation of traits under study in the progeny from the cross (often a backcross, F<sub>2</sub>, or recombinant inbred population).

Linkage analysis is based on the level at which markers and genes are co-inherited (Rothwell, *Understanding Genetics*. 4<sup>th</sup> Ed. Oxford University Press, New York, p. 703 (1988)). Statistical tests like chi-square analysis can be used to test the randomness of segregation or linkage (Kochert, *The Rockefeller Foundation International Program on Rice Biotechnology*, University of Georgia Athens, GA, pp. 1-14 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In linkage mapping, the proportion of recombinant individuals out of the total mapping population provides the information for determining the genetic distance between the loci (Young, *Encyclopedia of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 3, pp. 275-282 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Classical mapping studies utilize easily observable, visible traits instead of molecular markers. These visible traits are also known as naked eye polymorphisms. These traits can be morphological like plant height, fruit size, shape and color or physiological like disease response, photoperiod sensitivity or crop maturity. Visible traits are useful and are still in use because they represent actual phenotypes and are easy to score without any specialized lab equipment. By contrast, the other types of genetic markers are arbitrary loci for use in linkage mapping and often not associated to specific plant phenotypes (Young, *Encyclopedia of Agricultural Science*, Vol. 3, pp. 275-282 (1994)). Many morphological markers cause such large effects on phenotype that they are undesirable in breeding programs. Many other visible traits have the disadvantage of being developmentally regulated (*i.e.* expressed only certain stages; or at specific tissue and organs). Oftentimes, visible traits mask the effects of linked minor genes making it nearly impossible to identify desirable linkages for selection (Tanksely, *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Although a number of important agronomic characters are controlled by loci having major effects on phenotype, many economically important traits, such as yield and some forms of disease resistance, are quantitative in nature. This type of phenotypic

variation in a trait is typically characterized by continuous, normal distribution of phenotypic values in a particular population (Beckmann and Soller, *Oxford Surveys of Plant Molecular Biology, Miffen.* (ed.), Vol. 3, Oxford University Press, UK., pp. 196-250 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Loci contributing to such genetic variation are thought to be minor genes, as opposed to major genes with large effects that follow a Mendelian pattern of inheritance. Individual loci controlling polygenic traits are also predicted to follow a Mendelian type of inheritance, however the contribution of each locus is expressed as an increase or decrease in the final trait value.

The advent of DNA markers, such as restriction fragment length polymorphism markers (RFLPs), microsatellite markers (SSR), single nucleotide polymorphic markers (SNPs), and random amplified polymorphic DNA markers (RAPDs), allow the resolution of complex, multigenic traits into their individual Mendelian components (Paterson *et al*, *Nature* 335:721-726 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). A number of applications of RFLPs and other markers have been suggested for plant breeding. Among the potential applications for RFLPs and other markers in plant breeding include: varietal identification (Soller and Beckmann, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 67:25-33 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Tanksley *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989), QTL mapping (Edwards *et al.*, *Genetics* 116:113-115 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); Nienhuis *et al.*, *Crop Sci.* 27:797-803 (1987); Osborn *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 73:350-356 (1987); Romero-Severson *et al*, *Use of RFLPs In Analysis Of Quantitative Trait Loci In Maize, In* Helentjaris and Burr (eds.), pp. 97-102 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Young *et al.*, *Genetics* 120:579-585 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Martin *et al.*, *Science* 243:1725-1728 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); Sarfatti *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 78:22-26 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Tanksley *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989); Barone *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 224:177-182 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); Jung *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 79:663-672 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Keim *et al.*, *Genetics* 126:735-742 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by

reference, Keim *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 79:465-369 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Paterson *et al.*, *Genetics* 124:735-742 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Martin *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88:2336-2340 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference;

5 Messeguer *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 82:529-536 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Michelmore *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 88:9828-9832 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Ottaviano *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 81:713-719 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference;

10 Yu *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 81:471-476 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Diers *et al.*, *Crop Sci.* 32:377-383 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Doebley *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 87:9888-9892 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, screening genetic resource strains for useful quantitative trait alleles and introgression of these alleles into commercial varieties (Beckmann and Soller, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 67:35-43 (1983), the

15 entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Tanksley *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989), marker-assisted selection (Tanksley *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989) and map-based cloning (Tanksley *et al.*, *Biotech.* 7:257-264 (1989)). In addition, DNA markers can be used to obtain information about: (1) the number, effect, and chromosomal location of each gene affecting a trait; (2) effects of multiple copies of individual genes

20 (gene dosage); (3) interaction between/among genes controlling a trait (epistasis); (4) whether individual genes affect more than one trait (pleiotropy); and (5) stability of gene function across environments (G x E interactions).

Gene mapping studies associated with QTLs, have focused on agronomic and morphological characters in plants. In maize (*Zea mays* L.), QTLs contributing to

25 heterosis in several quantitative traits have been mapped (Stuber *et al.*, *Genetics* 132:823-839 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, as well as QTLs for heat tolerance (Ottaviano *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 81:713-719 (1991) and morphological characters distinguishing maize from teosinte (*Zea mays* ssp. *mexicana*) (Doebley *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:9888-9892 (1990). In tomato, RFLPs

30 have been used in locating and determining effects of QTLs associated with fruit size,

pH, soluble solids (Paterson *et al.*, *Genetics* 124:735-742 (1990) and water use efficiency (Martin *et al.*, *Genetics* 120:579-585 (1989)).

Tanksley *et al.* suggested the use of molecular markers to introduce QTLs from exotic germplasm (Tanksley *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92: 191-203 (1996). Paterson *et al.*, report the location of putative QTLs in an F<sub>2</sub> population that results from a cross between a domestic tomato strain and an exotic relative (Paterson *et al.*, *Genetics* 127: 181-197 (1991). The present effort evolved from efforts to locate and introduce traits that enhance agronomical traits into *Glycine max* from *Glycine max* introductions. Activities not described by Tanksley *et al.*, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 92: 191-203 (1996) or Paterson *et al.*, *Genetics* 127: 181-197 (1991). Lark *et al.* *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 92:4656-4660 (1995) described the interaction of two genetic loci in soybean PI290136 that contribute to height and yield. One of these loci was tightly linked to a black seeded (black seed coat) trait. The black seeded trait is undesirable in soybean for most agricultural markets. In order for any of these loci to be agronomically useful, this linkage would have to be genetically broken and yellow seed coat soybean plants produced.

The present invention provides high yielding *Glycine max* plants having yellow coat seeds and methods for producing such plants that address the following difficulties: (A) the introgression of a single loci high yield trait into agronomically useful *Glycine max* varieties; and (B) breaking the genetic linkage of the high yield loci with the black seed color present in *Glycine max* PI290136.

### **Summary of the Invention**

The present invention provides a method of soybean breeding for a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant having enhanced yield comprising: (A) crossing a black seed coat *Glycine max* PI290136 parent plant or progeny thereof with a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* parent plant to produce a segregating population of progeny plants; and (B) screening the segregating population of progeny plants for the presence of a DNA molecular marker of a sufficient length that is homologous or complementary to a DNA molecule selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:19-37, wherein a member of the progeny plants has an enhanced yield allele (SY5) derived from the *Glycine max* PI290136 plant and that maps to linkage group U03 of the *Glycine max* PI290136 plant; and (C) selecting the



member plant for further crossing and selection, wherein the member plant selected has a yellow seed coat and enhanced yield relative to the yellow seed coat *Glycine max* parent plant.

The present invention includes and provides a yellow seeded (yellow seed coat)  
 5 *Glycine max* plant having an allele of a quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant. In one embodiment, the present invention includes and provides a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant having an allele of a quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant wherein the yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant is provided in a seed deposit to the American Type Culture  
 10 Collection #PTA-2323.

The present invention further provides for the soybean seed having ATCC Accession No. PTA-2323, and a soybean plant or its parts produced by growing the seed of PTA-2323. The reproductive parts, especially the pollen and ovules of PTA-2323 plants and progeny thereof is an aspect of the invention. The progeny of a cross between  
 15 a first soybean plant and a second soybean plant, wherein the first soybean plant has at least one ancestor derived from PTA-2323 and has a yellow seed coat and enhanced yield.

The present invention also provides an elite yellow seeded *Glycine max* plant cultivar comprising an allele of an enhanced yield quantitative trait locus derived from a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant or progeny thereof, wherein the enhanced yield quantitative  
 20 trait locus is located on linkage group U03 of a black seed coat *Glycine max* PI290136 and linked to a DNA molecular marker derived from and complementary to Satt187 (SEQ ID NO:20), Sat\_212, Sat\_215, Sy50 (SEQ ID NO:22), SCNB190 (SEQ ID NO:25), SCNB188 (SEQ ID NO:21), SAHH (SEQ ID NO:26), SCNB187 (SEQ ID NO:23), XET1 (SEQ ID NO:27), Sy36 (SEQ ID NO:24), Satt315 (SEQ ID NO:19), and chalcone  
 25 synthase gene cluster (SEQ ID NO:28-37).

The present invention also provides a yellow seeded *Glycine max* plant comprising a DNA molecule, wherein the DNA molecule has a substantially homologous sequence as DNA found in an allele of the enhanced yield quantitative trait locus derived from *Glycine max* PI290136 or progeny thereof and located on linkage group U03, and  
 30 linked to a DNA molecular marker derived from and complementary to Satt187, Sat\_212,

Sat\_215 Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, Satt315 and the chalcone synthase gene cluster.

The present invention also provides a yellow seeded *Glycine max* seed from a *Glycine max* plant comprising DNA of an allele of a quantitative trait locus for enhanced yield, wherein the DNA is substantially homologous to at least one DNA molecule selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO: 1-37.

The present invention also provides a container of over 40,000 yellow seeded *Glycine max* seeds, wherein over 80% of the seeds have an allele of the quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant, wherein the allele of the enhanced yield quantitative trait locus is also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant and associated with the DNA molecular markers derived from and complementary to Satt187, Sat\_212, Sat\_215 Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, Satt315 and the chalcone synthase gene cluster.

The present invention also provides a progeny yellow seeded *Glycine max* plant containing an enhanced yield quantitative trait locus located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant and associated with the DNA molecular markers derived from and complementary to Satt187, Sat\_212, Sat\_215 Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, Satt315 and the chalcone synthase gene cluster, which exhibits an enhanced yield compared to a yellow seeded *Glycine max* first parent plant that does not contain the enhanced yield quantitative trait locus. The progeny yellow seeded *Glycine max* plant comprising a genome homozygous or heterozygous with respect to a genetic allele that is native to a second parent plant selected from the group consisting of *Glycine max* PI290136 and progeny thereof, and the genetic allele is non-native to the first parent plant.

The present invention also provides a method for determining the likelihood of a quantitative trait allele located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant for enhanced yield in a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant comprising the steps of: (A) obtaining mRNA from the yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant; (B) detecting a mRNA transcript molecule (C) determining the presence or absence of the mRNA molecule relative to mRNA obtained from a sibling yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant not

containing the quantitative trait allele derived from a cross with *Glycine max* PI290136, wherein the presence or absence of the mRNA molecule is indicative of the quantitative trait allele for enhanced yield.

The present invention also provides a method for determining the likelihood of a quantitative trait allele located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant for enhanced yield in a cross with a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant comprising the steps of: (A) obtaining mRNA from the progeny of the cross; (B) detecting mRNA transcript molecules; (C) determining the increase or decrease of the level of mRNA molecules, wherein the increase or decrease of the level of mRNA molecules is indicative of the quantitative trait allele for enhanced yield.

The present invention provides and includes a method for the production of a yellow seeded *Glycine max* elite plant having an enhanced yield quantitative trait allele comprising: (A) crossing a first soybean plant provided in ATCC seed deposit #PTA-2323 or progeny thereof having an enhanced yield quantitative trait allele with a second soybean plant having elite germplasm traits; (B) screening the segregating population for a member having the enhanced yield quantitative trait allele and the elite germplasm traits; (C) selecting the member for further crossing and selection; (D) bulking up seed from said member; and (E) packaging said seed in a container.

The present invention provides for a method of providing an isolated DNA molecule containing an allele of an enhanced yield QTL comprising: (A) constructing a library of soybean genomic DNA selected from the group consisting of *Glycine max* PI290136 and *Glycine max* having ATCC Accession No. PTA-2323 containing the enhanced yield QTL; (B) hybridizing the library of soybean genomic DNA with a DNA sequence selected from the group consisting of SEQ ID NO:19-37; (C) isolating the genomic DNA that hybridizes to the DNA sequence; (D) sequencing the isolated genomic DNA and constructing a contig of sequences; (E) comparing the contig to a soybean genomic DNA sequence not containing the QTL; (F) identifying the polymorphisms in the contig; (G) constructing a plant transformation vector containing the identified polymorphisms; (H) transforming plant cells with the plant transformation vector; (I)

regenerating the plant cells into plants; and (J) screening said plants for the enhanced yield phenotype.

The present invention provides for a transformed plant comprising an enhanced yield QTL isolated from *Glycine max* PI290136, wherein the enhanced yield QTL is  
 5 located on linkage group U03 of *Glycine max* PI290136.

### **Detailed Description Of The Invention**

The present invention provides a yellow seeded *Glycine max* plant having an allele of a quantitative trait locus (QTL) associated with enhanced yield in the *Glycine*  
 10 *max* plant, wherein the allele of a quantitative trait locus is also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant associated with molecular markers: Satt187, Sat\_212, Sat\_215, Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SCNB187, Sy36, Satt315, SAHH, XET1, the visual marker seed coat color, and the chalcone synthase gene cluster, wherein the DNA sequences of these genes are useful as markers for the enhanced yield locus.

15 The enhanced yield QTL located on linkage group U03 of a black seed coat *Glycine max* PI290136 plant and on linkage group U03 of a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant ATCC deposit #PTA-2323 is herein referred to as “Sy5”.

A *Glycine max* plant of the present invention is any yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant. In a preferred embodiment, a *Glycine max* plant of the present invention is an elite  
 20 plant. An “elite line” is any line that has resulted from breeding and selection for superior agronomic performance. Examples of elite lines are lines that are commercially available to farmers or soybean breeders such as HARTZ™ variety H4994, HARTZ™ variety H5218, HARTZ™ variety H5350, HARTZ™ variety H5545, HARTZ™ variety H5050, HARTZ™ variety H5454, HARTZ™ variety H5233, HARTZ™ variety H5488,  
 25 HARTZ™ variety HLA572, HARTZ™ variety H6200, HARTZ™ variety H6104, HARTZ™ variety H6255, HARTZ™ variety H6586, HARTZ™ variety H6191, HARTZ™ variety H7440, HARTZ™ variety H4452 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H4994 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H4988 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5000 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5147 Roundup  
 30 Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5247 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5350

Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5545 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5855 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5088 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5164 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5361 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5566 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5181 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5889 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H5999 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H6013 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H6255 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H6454 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H6686 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H7152 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H7550 Roundup Ready™, HARTZ™ variety H8001 Roundup Ready™ (HARTZ SEED, Stuttgart, Arkansas, USA); A0868, AG0901, A1553, A1900, AG1901, A1923, A2069, AG2101, AG2201, A2247, AG2301, A2304, A2396, AG2401, AG2501, A2506, A2553, AG2701, AG2702, AG2703, A2704, A2833, A2869, AG2901, AG2902, AG2905, AG3001, AG3002, A3204, A3237, A3244, AG3301, AG3302, A3404, A3469, AG3502, AG3503, A3559, AG3601, AG3701, AG3704, AG3750, A3834, AG3901, A3904, A4045 AG4301, A4341, AG4401, AG4501, AG4601, AG4602, A4604, AG4702, AG4901, A4922, AG5401, A5547, AG5602, A5704, AG5801, AG5901, A5944, A5959, AG6101, AJW2600C0R, FPG26932, QR4459 and QP4544 (Asgrow Seeds, Des Moines, Iowa, USA); DKB26-52, DKB28-51, DKB32-52, DKB35-51 and DeKalb variety CX445 (DeKalb, Illinois, USA); 91B91, 92B24, 92B37, 92B63, 92B71, 92B74, 92B75, 92B91, 93B01, 93B11, 93B26, 93B34, 93B35, 93B41, 93B45, 93B51, 93B53, 93B66, 93B81, 93B82, 93B84, 94B01, 94B32, 94B53, 95B71, 95B95, 9306, 9294, and 9344 (Pioneer Hi-bred International, Johnstonville, Iowa, USA).

An elite plant is any plant from an elite line.

The Sy5 quantitative trait locus of the present invention may be introduced into an elite *Glycine max* transgenic plant that contains one or more genes for herbicide tolerance, increased yield, insect control, fungal disease resistance, virus resistance, nematode resistance, bacterial disease resistance, mycoplasma disease resistance, modified oils production, high oil production, high protein production, germination and seedling growth control, enhanced animal and human nutrition, low raffinose, environmental stress resistant, increased digestibility, industrial enzymes, pharmaceutical proteins,

peptides and small molecules, improved processing traits, improved flavor, nitrogen fixation, hybrid seed production, reduced allergenicity, biopolymers, and biofuels among others. These agronomic traits can be provided by the methods of plant biotechnology as transgenes in *Glycine max*. It is further understood that a *Glycine max* plant of the present invention may exhibit the characteristics of any maturity group. The yield enhancing effect of the Sy5 locus in a yellow seed coat phenotype can vary based on the parental genotype (elite line) and on the environmental conditions in which the yield effect is measured. It is within the skill of those in the art of plant breeding and without undue experimentation to use the methods described herein to select from a population of plants or from a collection of parental genotypes those that when containing the Sy5 locus result in enhanced yield relative to the parent genotype.

In a preferred embodiment, the nuclear genetic contribution of an exotic black seed coat *Glycine max* to a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* of the present invention is less than about 25%. In a more preferred embodiment, the nuclear genetic contribution of an exotic black seed coat *Glycine max* to a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* of the present invention is less than about 12.5%. In an even more preferred embodiment, the nuclear genetic contribution of an exotic black seed coat *Glycine max* to a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* of the present invention is less than about 6.25%. The an exotic black seed coat *Glycine max* genetic contribution in a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant of the present invention can be reduced by backcrossing the progeny of a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* x an exotic black seed *Glycine max* cross (or progeny thereof) with, for example, a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* recurrent parent. It is further understood that a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant of the present invention may exhibit the characteristics of any maturity group.

A number of molecular genetic maps of *Glycine* have been reported (Mansur *et al.*, *Crop Sci.* 36: 1327-1336 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Shoemaker *et al.*, *Genetics* 144: 329-338 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Shoemaker *et al.*, *Crop Science* 32: 1091-1098 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Shoemaker *et al.*, *Crop Science* 35: 436-446 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Tinley and

Rafalski, *J. Cell Biochem. Suppl. 14E*: 291 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); Cregan *et al.*, *Crop Science* 39:1464-1490 (1999), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). *Glycine max*, *Glycine soja* and *Glycine max* x *Glycine soja* share linkage groups (Shoemaker *et al.*, *Genetics* 144: 329-338 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). As used herein, reference to the U03 linkage group of *Glycine max* refers to the linkage group that corresponds to U03 linkage group from the genetic map of *Glycine max* (Mansur *et al.*, *Crop Science*. 36: 1327-1336 (1996); Cregan *et al.*, *Crop Science* 39:1464-1490 (1999), and Soybase, Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture (<http://129.186.26.940/> and USDA - Agricultural Research Service:<http://www.ars.usda.gov/>)).

An allele of a quantitative trait locus can, of course, comprise multiple genes or other genetic factors even within a contiguous genomic region or linkage group. As used herein, an allele of a quantitative trait locus can therefore encompass more than one gene or other genetic factor where each individual gene or genetic component is also capable of exhibiting allelic variation and where each gene or genetic factor also has a phenotypic effect on the quantitative trait in question. In an embodiment of the present invention the allele of a quantitative trait locus comprises one or more genes or other genetic factors that are also capable of exhibiting allelic variation. The use of the term "an allele of a quantitative trait locus" is thus not intended to exclude a quantitative trait locus that comprises more than one gene or other genetic factor. As used herein, an allele is one of several alternative forms of a gene occupying a given locus on a chromosome. When all the alleles present at a given locus on a chromosome are the same that plant is homozygous at that locus. If the alleles present at a given locus on a chromosome differ that plant is heterozygous at that locus.

In another embodiment, a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant of the present invention has an allele of an enhanced yield quantitative trait locus that is genetically linked to the marker nucleic acid molecule selected from the group comprising Satt187, Sat\_212, Sat\_215, Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, Satt315, and chalcone synthase gene cluster DNA sequences.

In an embodiment, a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant of the present invention exhibits an enhanced yield as measured by dry seed weight. The enhanced yield is measured as dry seed weight at about 13% moisture content in comparison to a *Glycine max* plant of a similar genetic background grown under similar conditions, but whose genetic makeup lacks the alleles of a quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield introgressed from the *Glycine max* PI290136 plant, where the alleles of a quantitative trait locus are also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant. In an embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 2% increase in average dry seed weight. In a preferred embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 4% increase in average dry seed weight. In a more preferred embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 5% increase in average dry seed weight. In an even more preferred embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 10% increase in average dry seed weight. In an even more preferred embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 12% increase in average dry seed weight. In a particularly preferred embodiment the enhanced yield results in a greater than 14% or greater than 18% increase in average dry seed weight.

Many agronomic traits can affect yield. These include, without limitation, plant height, pod number, pod position on the plant, number of internodes, incidence of pod shatter, grain size, efficiency of nodulation and nitrogen fixation, efficiency of nutrient assimilation, resistance to biotic and abiotic stress, carbon assimilation, plant architecture, height, resistance to lodging, percent seed germination, seedling vigor, and juvenile traits. In an embodiment, a *Glycine max* plant of the present invention exhibits an enhanced trait that is a component of yield.

Heterogeneity can exist in any *Glycine max* accession and specifically that heterogeneity may exist in the exotic *Glycine max* PI290136. It is further understood that in light of the current disclosure, *Glycine max* PI290136 having an allele of a quantitative trait locus located on linkage group U03 and associated with enhanced yield in elite *Glycine max* plant can be screened for using one or more the techniques described herein or known in the art. In a preferred embodiment single seed selection from the segregating progeny of PI290136 is used in a backcross with an elite *Glycine max* lines such as



H5050 and CX445. The presence or absence of alleles from *Glycine max* PI290136 can, for example, be determined in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>4</sub> generation.

The present invention also provides a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant, which exhibits an enhanced yield compared to a first parent, the *Glycine max* plant having a genome homozygous or heterozygous with respect to a genetic allele that is native to a second parent selected from the group consisting of *Glycine max* PI290136 and progeny thereof and non-native to a first parent, where the first parent is an elite *Glycine max* plant.

Moreover, the present invention also provides a elite yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant comprising an allele of a quantitative trait locus derived from an exotic *Glycine max* plant, wherein the quantitative trait locus is also located on linkage group U03 of *Glycine max* PI290136.

Furthermore, the present invention provides a method for the production of an elite *Glycine max* plant having enhanced yield comprising: (A) crossing a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant or progeny thereof with an elite *Glycine max* plant to produce a segregating population; (B) screening the segregating population for a member having an allele derived from *Glycine max* PI290136 plant or progeny thereof that mapped to linkage group U03 of the *Glycine max* PI290136 plant or progeny thereof, where the allele is associated with the enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant; and (C) selecting the member for further crossing and selection, wherein the member selected has the allele derived from *Glycine max* PI290136 plant or progeny thereof that mapped to linkage group U03 and has a yellow seed coat.

Plants of the present invention can be part of or generated from a breeding program. The choice of breeding method depends on the mode of plant reproduction, the heritability of the trait(s) being improved, and the type of cultivar used commercially (e.g., F<sub>1</sub> hybrid cultivar, pureline cultivar, etc). A cultivar is a race or variety of a plant that has been created or selected intentionally and maintained through cultivation.

Selected, non-limiting approaches, for breeding the plants of the present invention are set forth below. A breeding program can be enhanced using marker assisted selection of the progeny of any cross. It is further understood that any commercial and non-

commercial cultivars can be utilized in a breeding program. Factors such as, for example, emergence vigor, vegetative vigor, stress tolerance, disease resistance, branching, flowering, seed set, seed size, seed density, standability, and threshability etc. will generally dictate the choice.

5 For highly heritable traits, a choice of superior individual plants evaluated at a single location will be effective, whereas for traits with low heritability, selection should be based on mean values obtained from replicated evaluations of families of related plants. Popular selection methods commonly include pedigree selection, modified pedigree selection, mass selection, and recurrent selection. In a preferred embodiment a  
10 backcross or recurrent breeding program is undertaken.

The complexity of inheritance influences choice of the breeding method. Backcross breeding can be used to transfer one or a few favorable genes for a highly heritable trait into a desirable cultivar. This approach has been used extensively for breeding disease-resistant cultivars. Various recurrent selection techniques are used to  
15 improve quantitatively inherited traits controlled by numerous genes. The use of recurrent selection in self-pollinating crops depends on the ease of pollination, the frequency of successful hybrids from each pollination, and the number of hybrid offspring from each successful cross.

Breeding lines can be tested and compared to appropriate standards in  
20 environments representative of the commercial target area(s) for two or more generations. The best lines are candidates for new commercial cultivars; those still deficient in traits may be used as parents to produce new populations for further selection.

One method of identifying a superior plant is to observe its performance relative to other experimental plants and to a widely grown standard cultivar. If a single  
25 observation is inconclusive, replicated observations can provide a better estimate of its genetic worth. A breeder can select and cross two or more parental lines, followed by repeated selfing and selection, producing many new genetic combinations.

The development of new soybean cultivars requires the development and selection of soybean varieties, the crossing of these varieties and selection of superior hybrid  
30 crosses. The hybrid seed can be produced by manual crosses between selected male-

fertile parents or by using male sterility systems. Hybrids are selected for certain single gene traits such as pod color, flower color, seed yield, pubescence color or herbicide resistance which indicate that the seed is truly a hybrid. Additional data on parental lines, as well as the phenotype of the hybrid, influence the breeder's decision whether to  
 5 continue with the specific hybrid cross.

Pedigree breeding and recurrent selection breeding methods can be used to develop cultivars from breeding populations. Breeding programs combine desirable traits from two or more cultivars or various broad-based sources into breeding pools from which cultivars are developed by selfing and selection of desired phenotypes. New  
 10 cultivars can be evaluated to determine which have commercial potential.

Pedigree breeding is used commonly for the improvement of self-pollinating crops. Two parents who possess favorable, complementary traits are crossed to produce an  $F_1$ . An  $F_2$  population is produced by selfing one or several  $F_1$ 's. Selection of the best individuals in the best families is selected. Replicated testing of families can begin in the  
 15  $F_4$  generation to improve the effectiveness of selection for traits with low heritability. At an advanced stage of inbreeding (*i.e.*,  $F_6$  and  $F_7$ ), the best lines or mixtures of phenotypically similar lines are tested for potential release as new cultivars.

Backcross breeding has been used to transfer genes for a simply inherited, highly heritable trait into a desirable homozygous cultivar or inbred line, which is the recurrent  
 20 parent. The source of the trait to be transferred is called the donor parent. The resulting plant is expected to have the attributes of the recurrent parent (*e.g.*, cultivar) and the desirable trait transferred from the donor parent. After the initial cross, individuals possessing the phenotype of the donor parent are selected and repeatedly crossed (backcrossed) to the recurrent parent. The resulting parent is expected to have the  
 25 attributes of the recurrent parent (*e.g.*, cultivar) and the desirable trait transferred from the donor parent.

The single-seed descent procedure in the strict sense refers to planting a segregating population, harvesting a sample of one seed per plant, and using the one-seed sample to plant the next generation. When the population has been advanced from the  $F_2$   
 30 to the desired level of inbreeding, the plants from which lines are derived will each trace

to different F<sub>2</sub> individuals. The number of plants in a population declines each generation due to failure of some seeds to germinate or some plants to produce at least one seed. As a result, not all of the F<sub>2</sub> plants originally sampled in the population will be represented by a progeny when generation advance is completed.

5 In a multiple-seed procedure, soybean breeders commonly harvest one or more pods from each plant in a population and thresh them together to form a bulk. Part of the bulk is used to plant the next generation and part is put in reserve. The procedure has been referred to as modified single-seed descent or the pod-bulk technique.

The multiple-seed procedure has been used to save labor at harvest. It is  
10 considerably faster to thresh pods with a machine than to remove one seed from each by hand for the single-seed procedure. The multiple-seed procedure also makes it possible to plant the same number of seed of a population each generation of inbreeding.

Descriptions of other breeding methods that are commonly used for different traits and crops can be found in one of several reference books (*e.g.* Fehr, *Principles of*  
15 *Cultivar Development* Vol. 1, pp. 2-3 (1987)), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

The present invention also provides for parts of the plants of the present invention. Plant parts, without limitation, include seed, endosperm, ovule and pollen. In a particularly preferred embodiment of the present invention, the plant part is a seed.

20 Moreover, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 40% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. The present invention also provides for a container having more than 80,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 40% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention.

In a preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container  
25 having more than 40,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 60% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In another preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 80,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 60% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In an even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40,000  
30 *Glycine max* seeds where over 80% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention.

In another even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 80,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 80% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In a further even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 90% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In another preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 80,000 *Glycine max* seeds where over 90% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention.

Moreover, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 25 lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 40% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. The present invention also provides for a container having more than 40lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 40% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In a preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 25lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 60% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In another preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 60% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In an even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 25lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 80% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In another even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 80% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In a further even more preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 25lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 90% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention. In another preferred embodiment, the present invention also provides for a container having more than 40lbs. of *Glycine max* seeds where over 90% of the seeds are from plants of the present invention.

Plants or parts thereof of the present invention may be grown in culture and regenerated. Methods for the regeneration of *Glycine max* plants from various tissue types and methods for the tissue culture of *Glycine max* are known in the art (See, for

example, Widholm *et al.*, *In Vitro Selection and Culture-induced Variation in Soybean*, In Soybean: Genetics, Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, Eds. Verma and Shoemaker, CAB International, Wallingford, Oxon, England (1996). Regeneration techniques for plants such as *Glycine max* can use as the starting material a variety of tissue or cell types. With *Glycine max* in particular, regeneration processes have been developed that begin with certain differentiated tissue types such as meristems, Cartha *et al.*, *Can. J. Bot.* 59:1671-1679 (1981), hypocotyl sections, Cameya *et al.*, *Plant Science Letters* 21: 289-294 (1981), and stem node segments, Saka *et al.*, *Plant Science Letters*, 19: 193-201 (1980); Cheng *et al.*, *Plant Science Letters*, 19: 91-99 (1980). Regeneration of whole sexually mature *Glycine max* plants from somatic embryos generated from explants of immature *Glycine max* embryos has been reported (Ranch *et al.*, *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology* 21: 653-658 (1985). Regeneration of mature *Glycine max* plants from tissue culture by organogenesis and embryogenesis has also been reported (Barwale *et al.*, *Planta* 167: 473-481 (1986); Wright *et al.*, *Plant Cell Reports* 5: 150-154 (1986).

The present invention also provides a yellow seed coat *Glycine max* plant selected for by screening for an enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant, the selection comprising interrogating genomic DNA for the presence of a marker molecule that is genetically linked to an allele of a quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield in the *Glycine max* plant, where the allele of a quantitative trait locus is also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant.

It is further understood, that the present invention provides bacterial, viral, microbial, insect, mammalian and plant cells comprising the agents of the present invention.

Nucleic acid molecules or fragments thereof are capable of specifically hybridizing to other nucleic acid molecules under certain circumstances. As used herein, two nucleic acid molecules are said to be capable of specifically hybridizing to one another if the two molecules are capable of forming an anti-parallel, double-stranded nucleic acid structure. A nucleic acid molecule is said to be the "complement" of another nucleic acid molecule if they exhibit complete complementarity. As used herein,

molecules are said to exhibit "complete complementarity" when every nucleotide of one of the molecules is complementary to a nucleotide of the other. Two molecules are said to be "minimally complementary" if they can hybridize to one another with sufficient stability to permit them to remain annealed to one another under at least conventional "low-stringency" conditions. Similarly, the molecules are said to be "complementary" if they can hybridize to one another with sufficient stability to permit them to remain annealed to one another under conventional "high-stringency" conditions. Conventional stringency conditions are described by Sambrook *et al.*, In: *Molecular Cloning, A Laboratory Manual, 2nd Edition, Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, New York* (1989)), and by Haymes *et al.*, In: *Nucleic Acid Hybridization, A Practical Approach*, IRL Press, Washington, DC (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference. Departures from complete complementarity are therefore permissible, as long as such departures do not completely preclude the capacity of the molecules to form a double-stranded structure. In order for a nucleic acid molecule to serve as a primer or probe it need only be sufficiently complementary in sequence to be able to form a stable double-stranded structure under the particular solvent and salt concentrations employed.

As used herein, a substantially homologous sequence is a nucleic acid sequence that will specifically hybridize to the complement of the nucleic acid sequence to which it is being compared under high stringency conditions. The nucleic-acid probes and primers of the present invention can hybridize under stringent conditions to a target DNA sequence. The term "stringent hybridization conditions" is defined as conditions under which a probe or primer hybridizes specifically with a target sequence(s) and not with non-target sequences, as can be determined empirically. The term "stringent conditions" is functionally defined with regard to the hybridization of a nucleic-acid probe to a target nucleic acid (i.e., to a particular nucleic-acid sequence of interest) by the specific hybridization procedure discussed in Sambrook *et al.*, 1989, at 9.52-9.55. See also, Sambrook *et al.*, 1989 at 9.47-9.52, 9.56-9.58; Kanehisa, Nucl. Acids Res. 12:203-213, 1984; and Wetmur and Davidson, J. Mol. Biol. 31:349-370, 1968. Appropriate stringency conditions that promote DNA hybridization are, for example, 6.0 x sodium

chloride/sodium citrate (SSC) at about 45° C, followed by a wash of 2.0 x SSC at 50°C, are known to those skilled in the art or can be found in Current Protocols in Molecular Biology, John Wiley & Sons, N.Y., 1989, 6.3.1-6.3.6. For example, the salt concentration in the wash step can be selected from a low stringency of about 2.0 x SSC at 50°C to a high stringency of about 0.2 x SSC at 50°C. In addition, the temperature in the wash step can be increased from low stringency conditions at room temperature, about 22°C, to high stringency conditions at about 65°C. Both temperature and salt may be varied, or either the temperature or the salt concentration may be held constant while the other variable is changed.

For example, hybridization using DNA or RNA probes or primers can be performed at 65°C in 6x SSC, 0.5% SDS, 5x Denhardt's, 100 µg/mL nonspecific DNA (e.g., sonicated salmon sperm DNA) with washing at 0.5x SSC, 0.5% SDS at 65°C, for high stringency.

It is contemplated that lower stringency hybridization conditions such as lower hybridization and/or washing temperatures can be used to identify related sequences having a lower degree of sequence similarity if specificity of binding of the probe or primer to target sequence(s) is preserved. Accordingly, the nucleotide sequences of the present invention can be used for their ability to selectively form duplex molecules with complementary stretches of DNA fragments. Detection of DNA segments via hybridization is well-known to those of skill in the art, and thus depending on the application envisioned, one will desire to employ varying hybridization conditions to achieve varying degrees of selectivity of probe towards target sequence and the method of choice will depend on the desired results.

As used herein, an agent, be it a naturally occurring molecule or otherwise may be "substantially purified", if desired, referring to a molecule separated from substantially all other molecules normally associated with it in its native state. More preferably a substantially purified molecule is the predominant species present in a preparation. A substantially purified molecule may be greater than 60% free, preferably 75% free, more preferably 90% free, and most preferably 95% free from the other molecules (exclusive of



solvent) present in the natural mixture. The term "substantially purified" is not intended to encompass molecules present in their native state.

The agents of the present invention will preferably be "biologically active" with respect to either a structural attribute, such as the capacity of a nucleic acid to hybridize to another nucleic acid molecule, or the ability of a protein to be bound by an antibody (or to compete with another molecule for such binding). Alternatively, such an attribute may be catalytic, and thus involve the capacity of the agent to mediate a chemical reaction or response.

The agents of the present invention may also be recombinant. As used herein, the term recombinant means any agent (*e.g.* DNA, peptide *etc.*), that is, or results, however indirect, from human manipulation of a nucleic acid molecule.

The agents of the present invention may be labeled with reagents that facilitate detection of the agent (*e.g.* fluorescent labels (Prober *et al.*, *Science* 238:336-340 (1987); Albarella *et al.*, European Patent 144914), chemical labels (Sheldon *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,582,789; Albarella *et al.*, U.S. Patent 4,563,417), modified bases (Miyoshi *et al.*, European Patent 119448), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

In a preferred embodiment, a nucleic acid of the present invention will specifically hybridize to one or more of the nucleic acid molecules set forth in SEQ ID NO: 19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complements thereof or fragments of either under moderately stringent conditions, for example at about 2.0 x SSC and about 65°C. In a particularly preferred embodiment, a nucleic acid of the present invention will specifically hybridize to one or more of the nucleic acid molecules set forth in SEQ ID NO:19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complements or fragments of either under high stringency conditions. In one aspect of the present invention, a preferred marker nucleic acid molecule of the present invention has the nucleic acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complements thereof or fragments of either. In another aspect of the present invention, a preferred marker nucleic acid molecule of the present invention shares between 80% and 100% or 90% and 100% sequence identity with the nucleic acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complement thereof or

fragments of either. In a further aspect of the present invention, a preferred marker nucleic acid molecule of the present invention shares between 95% and 100% sequence identity with the sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complement thereof or fragments of either. In a more preferred aspect of the present invention, a preferred marker nucleic acid molecule of the present invention shares between 98% and 100% sequence identity with the nucleic acid sequence set forth in SEQ ID NO:19 through SEQ ID NO:37 or complement thereof or fragments of either.

Additional genetic markers can be used to select plants with an allele of a quantitative trait locus associated with enhanced yield in *Glycine max* of the present invention. Examples of public marker databases include, for example: Soybase, an Agricultural Research Service, United States Department of Agriculture (<http://129.186.26.940/> and USDA - Agricultural Research Service: <http://www.ars.usda.gov/>).

A preferred group of markers is selected from the group consisting of a marker nucleic acid molecule that specifically hybridizes to Satt187, Sat\_212, Sat\_215, Sy50, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, and Satt315, chalcone synthase gene cluster sequences or their complement. In a preferred embodiment, the genetic marker of the present invention is a SSR.

Polymorphisms may also be found using a DNA fingerprinting technique called amplified fragment length polymorphism (AFLP), which is based on the selective PCR amplification of restriction fragments from a total digest of genomic DNA to profile that DNA (Vos *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 23:4407-4414 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). This method allows for the specific co-amplification of high numbers of restriction fragments, which can be visualized by PCR without knowledge of the nucleic acid sequence.

AFLP employs basically three steps. Initially, a sample of genomic DNA is cut with restriction enzymes and oligonucleotide adapters are ligated to the restriction fragments of the DNA. The restriction fragments are then amplified using PCR by using the adapter and restriction sequence as target sites for primer annealing. The selective amplification is achieved by the use of primers that extend into the restriction fragments,

amplifying only those fragments in which the primer extensions match the nucleotide flanking the restriction sites. These amplified fragments are then visualized on a denaturing polyacrylamide gel.

AFLP analysis has been performed on *Salix* (Beismann *et al.*, *Mol. Ecol.* 6:989-993 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Acinetobacter* (Janssen *et al.*, *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 47:1179-1187 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Aeromonas popoffi* (Huys *et al.*, *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 47:1165-1171 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), rice (McCouch *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 35:89-99 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), Nandi *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 255:1-8 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Cho *et al.*, *Genome* 39:373-378 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), barley (*Hordeum vulgare*)(Simons *et al.*, *Genomics* 44:61-70 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Waugh *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 255:311-321 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Qi *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 254:330-336 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Becker *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 249:65-73 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), potato (Van der Voort *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 255:438-447 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Meksem *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 249:74-81 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Phytophthora infestans* (Van der Lee *et al.*, *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 21:278-291 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Bacillus anthracis* (Keim *et al.*, *J. Bacteriol.* 179:818-824 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Astragalus cremnophylax* (Travis *et al.*, *Mol. Ecol.* 5:735-745 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Cnops *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 253:32-41 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Escherichia coli* (Lin *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24:3649-3650 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), *Aeromonas* (Huys *et al.*, *Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol.* 46:572-580 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), nematode (Folkertsma *et al.*, *Mol. Plant Microbe Interact.* 9:47-54 (1996), the entirety of which is herein

incorporated by reference), tomato (Thomas *et al.*, *Plant J.* 8:785-794 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), and human (Latorra *et al.*, *PCR Methods Appl.* 3:351-358 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). AFLP analysis has also been used for fingerprinting mRNA (Money *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 24:2616-2617 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Bachem *et al.*, *Plant J.* 9:745-753 (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the present invention, can be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by AFLP analysis or for fingerprinting RNA.

In a preferred embodiment, a marker molecule is detected by DNA amplification using a forward and a reverse primer capable of detecting a marker molecule of the present invention. In a particularly preferred embodiment, a marker molecule is detected by AFLP amplification.

Microsatellite (SSR) markers have been used to distinguish the genotype of soybean cultivars and elite breeding lines. These methods have been developed for soybean and are well known in the field of molecular plant breeding (Rongwen, *Theor. Appl. Gen.* 90:43-48 (1995); Akkaya, *Crop Sci.* 35:1439-1445 (1995); Mansur, *Crop Sci.* 36:1327-1336 (1996); Diwan, *Theor. Appl. Gen.* 95:723-733 (1997); Simple sequence repeat DNA marker analysis, in "DNA markers: Protocols, applications, and overviews: (1997) 173-185, Cregan, et al., eds., Wiley-Liss NY; all of which is herein incorporated by reference in its' entirety. In a particularly preferred embodiment, a marker molecule is detected by SSR techniques. It is understood that SSR and AFLP primers can hybridize to a combination of plant DNA and adapter DNA (*e.g.* *EcoRI* adapter or *MseI* adapter, Vos *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 23:4407-4414 (1995)).

Genetic markers of the present invention include "dominant" or "codominant" markers. "Codominant markers" reveal the presence of two or more alleles (two per diploid individual). "Dominant markers" reveal the presence of only a single allele. The presence of the dominant marker phenotype (*e.g.*, a band of DNA) is an indication that one allele is present in either the homozygous or heterozygous condition. The absence of the dominant marker phenotype (*e.g.*, absence of a DNA band) is merely evidence that

“some other” undefined allele is present. In the case of populations where individuals are predominantly homozygous and loci are predominantly dimorphic, dominant and codominant markers can be equally valuable. As populations become more heterozygous and multiallelic, codominant markers often become more informative of the genotype  
 5 than dominant markers.

Additional markers, such as microsatellite markers (SSR), AFLP markers, RFLP markers, RAPD markers, phenotypic markers, SNPs, isozyme markers, microarray transcription profiles that are genetically linked to or correlated with alleles of a QTL of the present invention can be utilized (Walton, *Seed World* 22-29 (July, 1993), the entirety  
 10 of which is herein incorporated by reference; Burow and Blake, *Molecular Dissection of Complex Traits*, 13-29, Eds. Paterson, CRC Press, New York (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Methods to isolate such markers are known in the art. For example, locus-specific microsatellite markers (SSR) can be obtained by screening a genomic library for microsatellite repeats, sequencing of “positive” clones,  
 15 designing primers which flank the repeats, and amplifying genomic DNA with these primers. The size of the resulting amplification products can vary by integral numbers of the basic repeat unit. To detect a polymorphism, PCR products can be radiolabeled, separated on denaturing polyacrylamide gels, and detected by autoradiography. Fragments with size differences >4 bp can also be resolved on agarose gels, thus avoiding  
 20 radioactivity.

Other microsatellite markers may be utilized. Amplification of simple tandem repeats, mainly of the  $[CA]_n$  type were reported by Litt and Luty, *Amer. J. Human Genet.* 44:397-401 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Smeets *et al.*, *Human Genet.* 83:245-251 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by  
 25 reference; Tautz, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 17:6463-6472 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Weber and May, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 44:388-396 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference. Weber *Genomics* 7:524-530 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, reported that the level of polymorphism detected by PCR-amplified  $[CA]_n$  type microsatellites depends on the  
 30 number of the “perfect” (*i.e.*, uninterrupted), tandemly repeated motifs. Below a certain

threshold (*i.e.*, 12 CA-repeats), the microsatellites were reported to be primarily monomorphic. Above this threshold, however, the probability of polymorphism increases with microsatellite length. Consequently, long, perfect arrays of microsatellites are preferred for the generation of markers, *i.e.*, for the design and synthesis of flanking primers.

Suitable primers can be deduced from DNA databases (*e.g.*, Akkaya *et al.*, *Genetics*. 132:1131-1139 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Alternatively, size-selected genomic libraries (200 to 500 bp) can be constructed by, for example, using the following steps: (1) isolation of genomic DNA; (2) digestion with one or more 4 base-specific restriction enzymes; (3) size-selection of restriction fragments by agarose gel electrophoresis, excision and purification of the desired size fraction; (4) ligation of the DNA into a suitable vector and transformation into a suitable *E. coli* strain; (5) screening for the presence of microsatellites by colony or plaque hybridization with a labeled probe; (6) isolation of positive clones and sequencing of the inserts; and (7) design of suitable primers flanking the microsatellite repeat.

Establishing libraries with small, size-selected inserts can be advantageous for microsatellite isolation for two reasons: (1) long microsatellites are often unstable in *E. coli*, and (2) positive clones can be sequenced without subcloning. A number of approaches have been reported for the enrichment of microsatellites in genomic libraries. Such enrichment procedures are particularly useful if libraries are screened with comparatively rare tri- and tetranucleotide repeat motifs. One such approach has been described by Ostrander *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)*. 89:3419-3423 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, who reported the generation of a small-insert phagemid library in an *E. coli* strain deficient in UTPase (d8t) and uracil-N-glycosylase (ung) genes. In the absence of UTPase and uracil-N-glycosylase, dUTP can compete with dTTP for the incorporation into DNA. Single-stranded phagemid DNA isolated from such a library, can be primed with [CA]<sub>n</sub> and [TG]<sub>n</sub> primers for second strand synthesis, and the products used to transform a wild-type *E. coli* strain. Since under these conditions there will be selection against single-stranded, uracil-containing

DNA molecules, the resulting library will consist of primer-extended, double-stranded products and an about 50-fold enrichment in CA-repeats.

Other reported enrichment strategies rely on hybridization selection of simple sequence repeats prior to cloning (Karagoyozov *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 21:3911-3912 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Armour *et al.*, *Hum. Mol. Gen.* 3:599-605 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Kijas *et al.*, *Genome* 38:349-355 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Kandpal *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 91:88-92 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Edwards *et al.*, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 49:746-756 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Hybridization selection, can for example, involve the following steps: (1) genomic DNA is fragmented, either by sonication, or by digestion with a restriction enzyme; (2) genomic DNA fragments are ligated to adapters that allow a "whole genome PCR" at this or a later stage of the procedure; (3) genomic DNA fragments are amplified, denatured and hybridized with single-stranded microsatellite sequences bound to a nylon membrane; (4) after washing off unbound DNA, hybridizing fragments enriched for microsatellites are eluted from the membrane by boiling or alkali treatment, reamplified using adapter-complementary primers, and digested with a restriction enzyme to remove the adapters; and (5) DNA fragments are ligated into a suitable vector and transformed into a suitable *E. coli* strain. Microsatellite can be found in up to 50-70% of the clones obtained from these procedures (Armour *et al.*, *Hum. Mol. Gen.* 3:599-605 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Edwards *et al.*, *Am. J. Hum. Genet.* 49:746-756 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

An alternative hybridization selection strategy was reported by Kijas *et al.*, *Genome* 38:599-605 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference, which replaced the nylon membrane with biotinylated, microsatellite-complementary oligonucleotides attached to streptavidin-coated magnetic particles. Microsatellite-containing DNA fragments are selectively bound to the magnetic beads, reamplified, restriction-digested and cloned.

It is further understood that other additional markers on linkage group U03 may be utilized (Morgante *et al.*, *Genome* 37:763-769 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety). PCR-amplified microsatellites can be used, because they are locus-specific, codominant, occur in large numbers and allow the unambiguous identification of alleles. Standard PCR-amplified microsatellites protocols use radioisotopes and denaturing polyacrylamide gels to detect amplified microsatellites. In many situations, however, allele sizes are sufficiently different to be resolved on high percentage agarose gels in combination with ethidium bromide staining (Bell and Ecker, *Genomics* 19:137-144 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Becker and Heun, *Genome* 38:991-998 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Huttel, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Frankfurt, Germany (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). High resolution without applying radioactivity is also provided by nondenaturing polyacrylamide gels in combination with either ethidium bromide (Scrimshaw, *Biotechniques* 13:2189 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) or silver staining (Klinkicht and Tautz, *Molecular Ecology* 1: 133-134 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Neilan *et al.*, *Biotechniques* 17:708-712 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). An alternative of PCR-amplified microsatellites typing involves the use of fluorescent primers in combination with a semi-automated DNA sequencer (Schwengel *et al.*, *Genomics* 22:46-54 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Fluorescent PCR products can be detected by real-time laser scanning during gel electrophoresis. An advantage of this technology is that different amplification reactions as well as a size marker (each labeled with a different fluorophore) can be combined into one lane during electrophoresis. Multiplex analysis of up to 24 different microsatellite loci per lane has been reported (Schwengel *et al.*, *Genomics* 22:46-54 (1994)).

The detection of polymorphic sites in a sample of DNA may be facilitated through the use of nucleic acid amplification methods. Such methods specifically increase the concentration of polynucleotides that span the polymorphic site, or include that site and



sequences located either distal or proximal to it. Such amplified molecules can be readily detected by gel electrophoresis or other means.

The most preferred method of achieving such amplification employs the polymerase chain reaction ("PCR") (Mullis *et al.*, *Cold Spring Harbor Symp. Quant. Biol.* 51:263-273 (1986); Erlich *et al.*, European Patent Appln. 50,424; European Patent Appln. 84,796, European Patent Application 258,017, European Patent Appln. 237,362; Mullis, European Patent Appln. 201,184; Mullis *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 4,683,202; Erlich, U.S. Patent No. 4,582,788; and Saiki *et al.*, U.S. Patent No. 4,683,194), using primer pairs that are capable of hybridizing to the proximal sequences that define a polymorphism in its double-stranded form.

In lieu of PCR, alternative methods, such as the "Ligase Chain Reaction" ("LCR") may be used (Barany, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 88:189-193 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). LCR uses two pairs of oligonucleotide probes to exponentially amplify a specific target. The sequences of each pair of oligonucleotides is selected to permit the pair to hybridize to abutting sequences of the same strand of the target. Such hybridization forms a substrate for a template-dependent ligase. As with PCR, the resulting products thus serve as a template in subsequent cycles and an exponential amplification of the desired sequence is obtained.

LCR can be performed with oligonucleotides having the proximal and distal sequences of the same strand of a polymorphic site. In one embodiment, either oligonucleotide will be designed to include the actual polymorphic site of the polymorphism. In such an embodiment, the reaction conditions are selected such that the oligonucleotides can be ligated together only if the target molecule either contains or lacks the specific nucleotide that is complementary to the polymorphic site present on the oligonucleotide. Alternatively, the oligonucleotides may be selected such that they do not include the polymorphic site (see, Segev, PCT Application WO 90/01069, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

The "Oligonucleotide Ligation Assay" ("OLA") may alternatively be employed (Landegren *et al.*, *Science* 241:1077-1080 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). The OLA protocol uses two oligonucleotides that are

designed to be capable of hybridizing to abutting sequences of a single strand of a target. OLA, like LCR, is particularly suited for the detection of point mutations. Unlike LCR, however, OLA results in "linear" rather than exponential amplification of the target sequence.

5 Nickerson *et al.* have described a nucleic acid detection assay that combines attributes of PCR and OLA (Nickerson *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:8923-8927 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In this method, PCR is used to achieve the exponential amplification of target DNA, which is then detected using OLA. In addition to requiring multiple, and separate, processing steps,  
10 one problem associated with such combinations is that they inherit all of the problems associated with PCR and OLA.

Schemes based on ligation of two (or more) oligonucleotides in the presence of a nucleic acid having the sequence of the resulting "di-oligonucleotide", thereby amplifying the di-oligonucleotide, are also known (Wu *et al.*, *Genomics* 4:560-569 (1989), the  
15 entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), and may be readily adapted to the purposes of the present invention.

Other known nucleic acid amplification procedures, such as allele-specific oligomers, branched DNA technology, transcription-based amplification systems, or isothermal amplification methods may also be used to amplify and analyze such  
20 polymorphisms (Malek *et al.*, U.S. Patent 5,130,238; Davey *et al.*, European Patent Application 329,822; Schuster *et al.*, U.S. Patent 5,169,766; Miller *et al.*, PCT Patent Application WO 89/06700; Kwoh, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 86:1173-1177 (1989); Gingeras *et al.*, PCT Patent Application WO 88/10315; Walker *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 89:392-396 (1992), all of which are herein incorporated by reference  
25 in their entirety).

Polymorphisms can also be identified by Single Strand Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP) analysis. SSCP is a method capable of identifying most sequence variations in a single strand of DNA, typically between 150 and 250 nucleotides in length (Elles, *Methods in Molecular Medicine: Molecular Diagnosis of Genetic Diseases*,  
30 Humana Press (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Orita *et*

*al.*, *Genomics* 5: 874-879 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Under denaturing conditions a single strand of DNA will adopt a conformation that is uniquely dependent on its sequence conformation. This conformation usually will be different, even if only a single base is changed. Most conformations have been reported to alter the physical configuration or size sufficiently to be detectable by electrophoresis. A number of protocols have been described for SSCP including, but not limited to, Lee *et al.*, *Anal. Biochem.* 205: 289-293 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Suzuki *et al.*, *Anal. Biochem.* 192: 82-84 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Lo *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Research* 20: 1005-1009 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Sarkar *et al.*, *Genomics* 13:441-443 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference. It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acids of the present invention, can be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by SSCP analysis.

Polymorphisms may also be found using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) (Williams *et al.*, *Nucl. Acids Res.* 18: 6531-6535 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and cleaveable amplified polymorphic sequences (CAPS) (Lyamichev *et al.*, *Science* 260: 778-783 (1993), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). It is understood that one or more of the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention, can be utilized as markers or probes to detect polymorphisms by RAPD or CAPS analysis.

The identification of a polymorphism can be determined in a variety of ways. By correlating the presence or absence of it in a plant with the presence or absence of a phenotype, it is possible to predict the phenotype of that plant. If a polymorphism creates or destroys a restriction endonuclease cleavage site, or if it results in the loss or insertion of DNA (*e.g.*, a variable nucleotide tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphism), it will alter the size or profile of the DNA fragments that are generated by digestion with that restriction endonuclease. As such, individuals that possess a variant sequence can be distinguished from those having the original sequence by restriction fragment analysis.

Polymorphisms that can be identified in this manner are termed "restriction fragment

length polymorphisms" ("RFLPs"). RFLPs have been widely used in human and plant genetic analyses (Glassberg, UK Patent Application 2135774; Skolnick *et al.*, *Cytogen. Cell Genet.* 32:58-67 (1982); Botstein *et al.*, *Ann. J. Hum. Genet.* 32:314-331 (1980); Fischer *et al.* (PCT Application WO90/13668); Uhlen, PCT Application WO90/11369).

5 A central attribute of "single nucleotide polymorphisms," or "SNPs" is that the site of the polymorphism is at a single nucleotide. SNPs have certain reported advantages over RFLPs and VNTRs. First, SNPs are more stable than other classes of polymorphisms. Their spontaneous mutation rate is approximately  $10^{-9}$  (Kornberg, DNA Replication, W. H. Freeman & Co., San Francisco, 1980), approximately 1,000 times less  
10 frequent than VNTRs (U.S. Patent 5,679,524, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Second, SNPs occur at greater frequency, and with greater uniformity than RFLPs and VNTRs. As SNPs result from sequence variation, new polymorphisms can be identified by sequencing random genomic or cDNA molecules. SNPs can also result from deletions, point mutations and insertions. Any single base alteration, whatever the  
15 cause, can be a SNP. The greater frequency of SNPs means that they can be more readily identified than the other classes of polymorphisms.

SNPs can be characterized using any of a variety of methods. Such methods include the direct or indirect sequencing of the site, the use of restriction enzymes where the respective alleles of the site create or destroy a restriction site, the use of allele-  
20 specific hybridization probes, the use of antibodies that are specific for the proteins encoded by the different alleles of the polymorphism or by other biochemical interpretation. SNPs can be sequenced by a number of methods. Two basic methods may be used for DNA sequencing, the chain termination method of Sanger *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 74: 5463-5467 (1977), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by  
25 reference and the chemical degradation method of Maxam and Gilbert, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 74: 560-564 (1977), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference. Automation and advances in technology such as the replacement of radioisotopes with fluorescence-based sequencing have reduced the effort required to sequence DNA (Craxton, *Methods*, 2: 20-26 (1991), the entirety of which is herein  
30 incorporated by reference; Ju *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 92: 4347-4351 (1995),

the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Tabor and Richardson, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 92: 6339-6343 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Automated sequencers are available from, for example, Pharmacia Biotech, Inc., Piscataway, New Jersey (Pharmacia ALF), LI-COR, Inc.,  
 5 Lincoln, Nebraska (LI-COR 4,000) and Millipore, Bedford, Massachusetts (Millipore BaseStation).

In addition, advances in capillary gel electrophoresis have also reduced the effort required to sequence DNA and such advances provide a rapid high resolution approach for sequencing DNA samples (Swerdlow and Gesteland, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 18:1415-  
 10 1419 (1990); Smith, *Nature* 349:812-813 (1991); Luckey *et al.*, *Methods Enzymol.* 218:154-172 (1993); Lu *et al.*, *J. Chromatog. A.* 680:497-501 (1994); Carson *et al.*, *Anal. Chem.* 65:3219-3226 (1993); Huang *et al.*, *Anal. Chem.* 64:2149-2154 (1992); Kheterpal *et al.*, *Electrophoresis* 17:1852-1859 (1996); Quesada and Zhang, *Electrophoresis* 17:1841-1851 (1996); Baba, *Yakugaku Zasshi* 117:265-281 (1997), Marino, Appl. Theor.  
 15 Electrophor. 5:1-5 (1995); all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

A microarray-based method for high-throughput monitoring of plant gene expression can be utilized as a genetic marker system. This 'chip'-based approach involves using microarrays of nucleic acid molecules as gene-specific hybridization  
 20 targets to quantitatively or qualitatively measure expression of plant genes (Schena *et al.*, *Science* 270:467-470 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Shalon, Ph.D. Thesis. Stanford University (1996), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Every nucleotide in a large sequence can be queried at the same time. Hybridization can be used to efficiently analyze nucleotide sequences. Such  
 25 microarrays can be probed with any combination of nucleic acid molecules. Particularly preferred combinations of nucleic acid molecules to be used as probes include a population of mRNA molecules from a known tissue type or a known developmental stage or a plant subject to a known stress (environmental or man-made) or any combination thereof (*e.g.* mRNA made from water stressed leaves at the 2 leaf stage).  
 30 Expression profiles generated by this method can be utilized as markers.

The genetic linkage of additional marker molecules can be established by a gene mapping model such as, without limitation, the flanking marker model reported by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics*, 121:185-199 (1989), and the interval mapping, based on maximum likelihood methods described by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics*, 121:185-199 (1989), and implemented in the software package MAPMAKER/QTL (Lincoln and Lander, *Mapping Genes Controlling Quantitative Traits Using MAPMAKER/QTL*, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research, Massachusetts, (1990). Additional software includes Qgene, Version 2.23 (1996), Department of Plant Breeding and Biometry, 266 Emerson Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, the manual of which is herein incorporated by reference in its entirety). Use of Qgene software is a particularly preferred approach.

A maximum likelihood estimate (MLE) for the presence of a marker is calculated, together with an MLE assuming no QTL effect, to avoid false positives. A  $\log_{10}$  of an odds ratio (LOD) is then calculated as:  $\text{LOD} = \log_{10}(\text{MLE for the presence of a QTL} / \text{MLE given no linked QTL})$ .

The LOD score essentially indicates how much more likely the data are to have arisen assuming the presence of a QTL than in its absence. The LOD threshold value for avoiding a false positive with a given confidence, say 95%, depends on the number of markers and the length of the genome. Graphs indicating LOD thresholds are set forth in Lander and Botstein, *Genetics*, 121:185-199 (1989), and further described by Arús and Moreno-González, *Plant Breeding*, Hayward, Bosemark, Romagosa (eds.) Chapman & Hall, London, pp. 314-331 (1993).

Additional models can be used. Many modifications and alternative approaches to interval mapping have been reported, including the use non-parametric methods (Kruglyak and Lander, *Genetics*, 139:1421-1428 (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Multiple regression methods or models can be also be used, in which the trait is regressed on a large number of markers (Jansen, *Biometrics in Plant Breed*, van Oijen, Jansen (eds.) Proceedings of the Ninth Meeting of the Eucarpia Section Biometrics in Plant Breeding, The Netherlands, pp. 116-124 (1994); Weber and Wricke, *Advances in Plant Breeding*, Blackwell, Berlin, 16 (1994)). Procedures combining

interval mapping with regression analysis, whereby the phenotype is regressed onto a single putative QTL at a given marker interval, and at the same time onto a number of markers that serve as 'cofactors,' have been reported by Jansen and Stam, *Genetics*, 136:1447-1455 (1994) and Zeng, *Genetics*, 136:1457-1468 (1994). Generally, the use of cofactors reduces the bias and sampling error of the estimated QTL positions (Utz and Melchinger, *Biometrics in Plant Breeding*, van Oijen, Jansen (eds.) Proceedings of the Ninth Meeting of the Eucarpia Section Biometrics in Plant Breeding, The Netherlands, pp.195-204 (1994), thereby improving the precision and efficiency of QTL mapping (Zeng, *Genetics*, 136:1457-1468 (1994)). These models can be extended to multi-environment experiments to analyze genotype-environment interactions (Jansen *et al.*, *Theo. Appl. Genet.* 91:33-37 (1995).

Selection of an appropriate mapping populations is important to map construction. The choice of appropriate mapping population depends on the type of marker systems employed (Tanksley *et al.*, *Molecular mapping plant chromosomes. chromosome structure and function: Impact of new concepts* J.P. Gustafson and R. Appels (eds.). Plenum Press, New York, pp. 157-173 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Consideration must be given to the source of parents (adapted vs. exotic) used in the mapping population. Chromosome pairing and recombination rates can be severely disturbed (suppressed) in wide crosses (adapted x exotic) and generally yield greatly reduced linkage distances. Wide crosses will usually provide segregating populations with a relatively large array of polymorphisms when compared to progeny in a narrow cross (adapted x adapted).

An  $F_2$  population is the first generation of selfing after the hybrid seed is produced. Usually a single  $F_1$  plant is selfed to generate a population segregating for all the genes in Mendelian (1:2:1) fashion. Maximum genetic information is obtained from a completely classified  $F_2$  population using a codominant marker system (Mather, *Measurement of Linkage in Heredity*: Methuen and Co., (1938), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). In the case of dominant markers, progeny tests (e.g  $F_3$ ,  $BCF_2$ ) are required to identify the heterozygotes, thus making it equivalent to a completely classified  $F_2$  population. However, this procedure is often prohibitive because

of the cost and time involved in progeny testing. Progeny testing of  $F_2$  individuals is often used in map construction where phenotypes do not consistently reflect genotype (*e.g.* disease resistance) or where trait expression is controlled by a QTL. Segregation data from progeny test populations (*e.g.*  $F_3$  or  $BCF_2$ ) can be used in map construction.

- 5 Marker-assisted selection can then be applied to cross progeny based on marker-trait map associations ( $F_2$ ,  $F_3$ ), where linkage groups have not been completely disassociated by recombination events (*i.e.*, maximum disequilibrium).

Recombinant inbred lines (RIL) (genetically related lines; usually  $>F_5$ , developed from continuously selfing  $F_2$  lines towards homozygosity) can be used as a mapping  
 10 population. Information obtained from dominant markers can be maximized by using RIL because all loci are homozygous or nearly so. Under conditions of tight linkage (*i.e.*, about  $<10\%$  recombination), dominant and co-dominant markers evaluated in RIL populations provide more information per individual than either marker type in backcross  
 15 populations (Reiter *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 89:1477-1481 (1992)). However, as the distance between markers becomes larger (*i.e.*, loci become more independent), the information in RIL populations decreases dramatically when compared to codominant markers.

Backcross populations (*e.g.*, generated from a cross between a successful variety (recurrent parent) and another variety (donor parent) carrying a trait not present in the  
 20 former) can be utilized as a mapping population. A series of backcrosses to the recurrent parent can be made to recover most of its desirable traits. Thus a population is created consisting of individuals nearly like the recurrent parent but each individual carries varying amounts or mosaic of genomic regions from the donor parent. Backcross populations can be useful for mapping dominant markers if all loci in the recurrent parent  
 25 are homozygous and the donor and recurrent parent have contrasting polymorphic marker alleles (Reiter *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 89:1477-1481 (1992)). Information obtained from backcross populations using either codominant or dominant markers is less than that obtained from  $F_2$  populations because one, rather than two, recombinant gametes are sampled per plant. Backcross populations, however, are more informative (at  
 30 low marker saturation) when compared to RILs as the distance between linked loci



increases in RIL populations (*i.e.* about .15% recombination). Increased recombination can be beneficial for resolution of tight linkages, but may be undesirable in the construction of maps with low marker saturation.

5 Near-isogenic lines (NIL) created by many backcrosses to produce an array of individuals that are nearly identical in genetic composition except for the trait or genomic region under interrogation can be used as a mapping population. In mapping with NILs, only a portion of the polymorphic loci are expected to map to a selected region.

Bulk segregant analysis (BSA) is a method developed for the rapid identification of linkage between markers and traits of interest (Michelmore, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 88:9828-9832 (1991)). In BSA, two bulked DNA samples are drawn from a segregating population originating from a single cross. These bulks contain individuals that are identical for a particular trait (resistant or susceptible to particular disease) or genomic region but arbitrary at unlinked regions (*i.e.* heterozygous). Regions unlinked to the target region will not differ between the bulked samples of many individuals in BSA.

15 The markers of the present invention can be used to isolate or substantially purify an allele of a quantitative trait locus that is also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant. Construction of an overlapping series of clones (a clone contig) across the region can provide the basis for a physical map encompassing an allele of a quantitative trait locus that are located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant. The yeast artificial chromosome (YAC) cloning system has facilitated chromosome walking and large-size cloning strategies. A sequence tag site (STS) content approach utilizing the markers of the present invention can be used for the construction of YAC clones across chromosome regions. Such an STS content approach to the construction of YAC maps can provide a detailed and ordered STS-based map of any chromosome region, including the region encompassing the allele of a quantitative trait locus is also located on linkage group U03 of a *Glycine max* PI290136 plant. YAC maps can be supplemented by detailed physical maps are constructed across the region by using BAC, PAC, or bacteriophage P1 clones that contain inserts ranging in size from 70 kb to several hundred kilobases (Cregan, *Theor. Appl. Gen.* 78:919-928 (1999), Sternberg, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 87:103-107 (1990), Sternberg, *Trends Genet.* 8:11-16 (1992);

20  
25  
30

Sternberg *et al.*, *New Biol.* 2:151-162 (1990); Ioannou *et al.*, *Nat. Genet.* 6:84-89 (1994); Shizuya *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* 89:8794-8797 (1992), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety).

Overlapping sets of clones are derived by using the available markers of the present invention to screen BAC, PAC, bacteriophage P1, or cosmid libraries. In addition, hybridization approaches can be used to convert the YAC maps into BAC, PAC, bacteriophage P1, or cosmid contig maps. Entire YACs and products of inter-*Alu*-PCR as well as primer sequences from appropriate STSs can be used to screen BAC, PAC, bacteriophage P1, or cosmid libraries. The clones isolated for any region can be assembled into contigs using STS content information and fingerprinting approaches (Sulston *et al.*, *Comput. Appl. Biosci.* 4:125-132 (1988)).

The degeneracy of the genetic code, which allows different nucleic acid sequences to code for the same protein or peptide, is known in the literature. As used herein a nucleic acid molecule is degenerate of another nucleic acid molecule when the nucleic acid molecules encode for the same amino acid sequences but comprise different nucleotide sequences. An aspect of the present invention is that the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention include nucleic acid molecules that are degenerate of the nucleic acid molecule that encodes the protein(s) of the quantitative trait alleles.

Another aspect of the present invention is that the nucleic acid molecules of the present invention include nucleic acid molecules that are homologues of the nucleic acid molecule that encodes the one or more of the proteins associated with the quantitative trait locus.

Exogenous genetic material may be transferred into a plant by the use of a DNA plant transformation vector or construct designed for such a purpose. A particularly preferred subgroup of exogenous material comprises a nucleic acid molecule of the present invention. Design of such a vector is generally within the skill of the art (*See*, *Plant Molecular Biology: A Laboratory Manual*, eds. Clark, Springer, New York (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). Examples of such plants, include, without limitation, alfalfa, *Arabidopsis*, barley, *Brassica*, broccoli, cabbage, citrus, cotton, garlic, oat, oilseed rape, onion, canola, flax, maize, an ornamental plant,

pea, peanut, pepper, potato, rice, rye, sorghum, soybean, strawberry, sugarcane, sugarbeet, tomato, wheat, poplar, pine, fir, eucalyptus, apple, lettuce, lentils, grape, banana, tea, turf grasses, sunflower, oil palm, *Phaseolus* etc.

A construct or vector may include the endogenous promoter of the enhanced yield QTL of the present invention or a heterologous promoter may be selected to express the protein or protein fragment of choice. A number of promoters which are active in plant cells have been described in the literature. These include the nopaline synthase (NOS) promoter (Ebert *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 84:5745-5749 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the octopine synthase (OCS) promoter (which are carried on tumor-inducing plasmids of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*), the caulimovirus promoters such as the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV) 19S promoter (Lawton *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 9:315-324 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the CaMV 35S promoter (Odell *et al.*, *Nature* 313:810-812 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the figwort mosaic virus 35S-promoter, the light-inducible promoter from the small subunit of ribulose-1,5-bis-phosphate carboxylase (ssRUBISCO), the Adh promoter (Walker *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 84:6624-6628 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the sucrose synthase promoter (Yang *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 87:4144-4148 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the R gene complex promoter (Chandler *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:1175-1183 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), and the chlorophyll a/b binding protein gene promoter, etc. These promoters have been used to create DNA constructs which have been expressed in plants; *see, e.g.*, PCT publication WO 84/02913, herein incorporated by reference in its entirety.

Promoters which are known or are found to cause transcription of DNA in plant cells can be used in the present invention. Such promoters may be obtained from a variety of sources such as plants and plant viruses. In addition to promoters that are known to cause transcription of DNA in plant cells, other promoters may be identified for use in the current invention by screening a plant cDNA library for genes which are selectively or preferably expressed in the target tissues or cells.

Constructs or vectors may also include with the coding region of interest a nucleic acid sequence that acts, in whole or in part, to terminate transcription of that region. For example, such sequences have been isolated including the Tr7 3' sequence and the NOS 3' sequence (Ingelbrecht *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:671-680 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Bevan *et al.*, *Nucleic Acids Res.* 11:369-385 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), or the like.

A vector or construct may also include regulatory elements. Examples of such include the Adh intron 1 (Callis *et al.*, *Genes and Develop.* 1:1183-1200 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), the sucrose synthase intron (Vasil *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 91:1575-1579 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) and the TMV omega element (Gallie *et al.*, *The Plant Cell* 1:301-311 (1989), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). These and other regulatory elements may be included when appropriate.

A vector or construct may also include a selectable marker. Selectable markers may also be used to select for plants or plant cells that contain the exogenous genetic material. Examples of such include, but are not limited to, a neo gene (Potrykus *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 199:183-188 (1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which codes for kanamycin resistance and can be selected for using kanamycin, G418, etc.; a bar gene which codes for bialaphos resistance; a mutant EPSP synthase gene (Hinchee *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 6:915-922 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which encodes glyphosate resistance; a nitrilase gene which confers resistance to bromoxynil (Stalker *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:6310-6314 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a mutant acetolactate synthase gene (ALS) which confers imidazolinone or sulphonylurea resistance (European Patent Application 154,204 (Sept. 11, 1985), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); and a methotrexate resistant DHFR gene (Thillet *et al.*, *J. Biol. Chem.* 263:12500-12508 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

A vector or construct may also include a screenable marker. Screenable markers may be used to monitor expression. Exemplary screenable markers include a  $\beta$ -glucuronidase or uidA gene (GUS) which encodes an enzyme for which various

chromogenic substrates are known (Jefferson, *Plant Mol. Biol. Rep.* 5:387-405 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Jefferson *et al.*, *EMBO J.* 6:3901-3907 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); an R-locus gene, which encodes a product that regulates the production of anthocyanin pigments (red color) in plant tissues (Dellaporta *et al.*, *Stadler Symposium 11*:263-282 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a  $\beta$ -lactamase gene (Sutcliffe *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 75:3737-3741 (1978), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), a gene which encodes an enzyme for which various chromogenic substrates are known (*e.g.*, PADAC, a chromogenic cephalosporin); a luciferase gene (Ow *et al.*, *Science* 234:856-859 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a xylE gene (Zukowsky *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 80:1101-1105 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which encodes a catechol dioxygenase that can convert chromogenic catechols; an  $\alpha$ -amylase gene (Ikata *et al.*, *Bio/Technol.* 8:241-242 (1990), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); a tyrosinase gene (Katz *et al.*, *J. Gen. Microbiol.* 129:2703-2714 (1983), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference) which encodes an enzyme capable of oxidizing tyrosine to DOPA and dopaquinone which in turn condenses to melanin; and an  $\alpha$ -galactosidase.

There are many methods for introducing transforming nucleic acid molecules into plant cells. Suitable methods are believed to include virtually any method by which nucleic acid molecules may be introduced into a cell, such as by *Agrobacterium* infection or direct delivery of nucleic acid molecules such as, for example, by PEG-mediated transformation, by electroporation or by acceleration of DNA coated particles, etc. (Potrykus, *Ann. Rev. Plant Physiol. Plant Mol. Biol.* 42:205-225 (1991), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Vasil, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 25:925-937 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). For example, electroporation has been used to transform *Zea mays* protoplasts (Fromm *et al.*, *Nature* 312:791-793 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Other vector systems suitable for introducing transforming DNA into a host plant cell include but are not limited to binary artificial chromosome (BIBAC) vectors

(Hamilton *et al.*, *Gene* 200:107-116 (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), and transfection with RNA viral vectors (Della-Cioppa *et al.*, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* (1996), 792 (Engineering Plants for Commercial Products and Applications), 57-61, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference.

5 Technology for introduction of DNA into cells is well known to those of skill in the art. Four general methods for delivering a gene into cells have been described: (1) chemical methods (Graham and van der Eb, *Virology* 54:536-539 (1973), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); (2) physical methods such as microinjection (Capecchi, *Cell* 22:479-488 (1980), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by  
10 reference), electroporation (Wong and Neumann, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* 107:584-587 (1982); Fromm *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (U.S.A.)* 82:5824-5828 (1985); U.S. Patent No. 5,384,253, all of which are herein incorporated in their entirety); and the gene gun (Johnston and Tang, *Methods Cell Biol.* 43:353-365 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); (3) viral vectors (Clapp, *Clin. Perinatol.*  
15 20:155-168 (1993); Lu *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* 178:2089-2096 (1993); Eglitis and Anderson, *Biotechniques* 6:608-614 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated in their entirety); and (4) receptor-mediated mechanisms (Curiel *et al.*, *Hum. Gen. Ther.* 3:147-154 (1992), Wagner *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 89:6099-6103 (1992), all of which are incorporated by reference in their entirety).

20 Acceleration methods that may be used include, for example, microprojectile bombardment and the like. One example of a method for delivering transforming nucleic acid molecules to plant cells is microprojectile bombardment. This method has been reviewed by Yang and Christou, eds., *Particle Bombardment Technology for Gene Transfer*, Oxford Press, Oxford, England (1994), the entirety of which is herein  
25 incorporated by reference. Non-biological particles (microprojectiles) that may be coated with nucleic acids and delivered into cells by a propelling force. Exemplary particles include those comprised of tungsten, gold, platinum, and the like.

*Agrobacterium*-mediated transfer is a widely applicable system for introducing genes into plant cells because the DNA can be introduced into whole plant tissues,  
30 thereby bypassing the need for regeneration of an intact plant from a protoplast. The use

of *Agrobacterium*-mediated plant integrating vectors to introduce DNA into plant cells is well known in the art. See, for example the methods described by Fraley *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 3:629-635 (1985) and Rogers *et al.*, *Methods Enzymol.* 153:253-277 (1987), both of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety. Further, the integration of the Ti-DNA is a relatively precise process resulting in few rearrangements. The region of DNA to be transferred is defined by the border sequences, and intervening DNA is usually inserted into the plant genome as described (Spielmann *et al.*, *Mol. Gen. Genet.* 205:34 (1986), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

A transgenic plant formed using *Agrobacterium* transformation methods typically contains a single gene on one chromosome. Such transgenic plants can be referred to as being hemizygous for the added gene. More preferred is a transgenic plant that is homozygous for the added structural gene; *i.e.*, a transgenic plant that contains two added genes, one gene at the same locus on each chromosome of a chromosome pair. A homozygous transgenic plant can be obtained by sexually mating (selfing) an independent segregant transgenic plant that contains a single added gene, germinating some of the seed produced and analyzing the resulting plants produced for the gene of interest.

It is also to be understood that two different transgenic plants can also be mated to produce offspring that contain two independently segregating added, exogenous genes. Selfing of appropriate progeny can produce plants that are homozygous for both added, exogenous genes that encode a polypeptide of interest. Back-crossing to a parental plant and out-crossing with a non-transgenic plant are also contemplated, as is vegetative propagation.

The regeneration, development, and cultivation of plants from single plant protoplast transformants or from various transformed explants is well known in the art (Weissbach and Weissbach, In: *Methods for Plant Molecular Biology*, (Eds.), Academic Press, Inc. San Diego, CA, (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference). This regeneration and growth process typically includes the steps of selection of transformed cells, culturing those individualized cells through the usual stages of embryonic development through the rooted plantlet stage. Transgenic embryos and seeds

are similarly regenerated. The resulting transgenic rooted shoots are thereafter planted in an appropriate plant growth medium such as soil.

The development or regeneration of plants containing the foreign, exogenous gene that encodes a protein of interest is well known in the art. Preferably, the regenerated plants are self-pollinated to provide homozygous transgenic plants. Otherwise, pollen obtained from the regenerated plants is crossed to seed-grown plants of agronomically important lines. Conversely, pollen from plants of these important lines is used to pollinate regenerated plants. A transgenic plant of the present invention containing a desired polypeptide is cultivated using methods well known to one skilled in the art.

Methods for transforming dicots, primarily by use of *Agrobacterium tumefaciens*, and obtaining transgenic plants have been published for cotton (U.S. Patent No. 5,004,863, U.S. Patent No. 5,159,135, U.S. Patent No. 5,518,908, all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); soybean (U.S. Patent No. 5,569,834, U.S. Patent No. 5,416,011, McCabe *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 6:923 (1988), Christou *et al.*, *Plant Physiol.* 87:671-674 (1988), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); *Brassica* (U.S. Patent No. 5,463,174, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); peanut (Cheng *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 15:653-657 (1996), McKently *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 14:699-703 (1995), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); papaya; and pea (Grant *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 15:254-258, (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Transformation of monocotyledons using electroporation, particle bombardment, and *Agrobacterium* have also been reported. Transformation and plant regeneration have been achieved in asparagus (Bytebier *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. (USA)* 84:5354, (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); barley (Wan and Lemaux, *Plant Physiol* 104:37 (1994), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); *Zea mays* (Rhodes *et al.*, *Science* 240:204 (1988), Gordon-Kamm *et al.*, *Plant Cell* 2:603-618 (1990), Fromm *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 8:833 (1990), Koziel *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 11:194, (1993), Armstrong *et al.*, *Crop Science* 35:550-557 (1995), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); oat (Somers *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:1589 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); orchard grass



(Horn *et al.*, *Plant Cell Rep.* 7:469 (1988), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); rice (Toriyama *et al.*, *Theor Appl. Genet.* 205:34, (1986); Part *et al.*, *Plant Mol. Biol.* 32:1135-1148, (1996); Abedinia *et al.*, *Aust. J. Plant Physiol.* 24:133-141 (1997); Zhang and Wu, *Theor. Appl. Genet.* 76:835 (1988); Zhang *et al.* *Plant Cell Rep.* 7:379, (1988); Battraw and Hall, *Plant Sci.* 86:191-202 (1992); Christou *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 9:957 (1991), all of which are herein incorporated by reference in their entirety); rye (De la Pena *et al.*, *Nature* 325:274 (1987), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); sugarcane (Bower and Birch, *Plant J.* 2:409 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference); tall fescue (Wang *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:691 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference), and wheat (Vasil *et al.*, *Bio/Technology* 10:667 (1992), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; U.S. Patent No. 5,631,152, the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference.)

In addition to the above discussed procedures, practitioners are familiar with the standard resource materials which describe specific conditions and procedures for the construction, manipulation and isolation of macromolecules (*e.g.*, DNA molecules, plasmids, etc.), generation of recombinant organisms and the screening and isolating of clones, (see for example, Sambrook *et al.*, *Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual*, Cold Spring Harbor Press (1989); Mailga *et al.*, *Methods in Plant Molecular Biology*, Cold Spring Harbor Press (1995), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Birren *et al.*, *Genome Analysis: Detecting Genes*, 1, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1998), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; Birren *et al.*, *Genome Analysis: Analyzing DNA*, 2, Cold Spring Harbor, New York (1998), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference; *Plant Molecular Biology: A Laboratory Manual*, eds. Clark, Springer, New York (1997), the entirety of which is herein incorporated by reference).

Having now generally described the invention, the same will be more readily understood through reference to the following examples which are provided by way of illustration, and are not intended to be limiting of the present invention, unless specified.

### Example 1

Two leaf discs are collected (approximately 40 mg) from a healthy leaf of a young *Glycine max* plant and stored on wet ice or at 4°C. Tissue samples are then freeze-dried and stored at -20°C or -80°C. The frozen samples are kept as dry as possible and sealed from contact with the atmosphere. The freeze-dried samples from -20°C or -80°C, are allowed to warm up to room temperature prior to unsealing or opening. One leaflet (or 2 leaf discs) is inserted into an 1.5 ml Eppendorf tube, placed on dry ice, and crushed with a wooden dowel. Approximately 200 µl of microprep buffer (25 ml extraction buffer (350 mM sorbitol, 100 mM Tris-base, 5 mM EDTA- $\text{Na}_2$ ), 25 ml nuclei lysis buffer (1M Tris/HCl, 0.5 M EDTA, 5 M NaCl, 2% CTAB), 10 ml 5% sarkosyl, 0.1g Na bisulfite) is added to each sample. The sample is then homogenized. An additional 550 µl of microprep buffer is added, mixed by vortex for about 30-60 seconds, and incubated at 65°C for about 60 minutes. About 700 µl chloroform/isoamyl alcohol (24:1) is added, mixed well for about 10-30 seconds. Centrifugation of the tubes is performed at approximately 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge. The aqueous phase is transferred into a new tube and RNA is removed from the extract by the addition of 30 µl of RNase (10 mg/ml) to the aqueous phase and incubated for 1 hour at room temperature. Approximately 500 µl ice-cold isopropanol is added to the aqueous extract, and the tubes inverted until the DNA precipitated. The precipitated solution is kept at 4°C for about 1 hour or overnight. Centrifugation of the tubes is performed at approximately 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes in a microcentrifuge. The supernatant is discarded and the pellet washed 1-3 times with 200 µl 70% ethanol. The ethanol is removed using a micropipette and pellet dried at 37°C for 10 minutes. The DNA is dissolved in 50 µl TE (10 mM Tris-HCL pH8.0, 0.1 mM EDTA), then kept overnight at 4°C. Centrifugation of the tubes is performed at approximately 10,000 rpm for 5 minutes and then the supernatant is transferred into new tubes. Using this method approximately 2 µg of DNA per mg of fresh leaf tissue is extracted.

The amount of DNA recovered is quantified by performing agarose gel electrophoresis on aliquots of the DNA extracted from the samples. The agarose gel is prepared as follows: 4 g agarose melted 400 ml 1X TBE (89 mM Tris-HCl, 89 mM boric

acid, 2 mM EDTA), cooled to ~70°C and then 10 µl of 10 mg/ml ethidium bromide is added to the gel. A gel mold with comb for sample application is prepared and molten agarose poured into the mold. After the gel has solidified it is transferred to the electrophoresis apparatus containing approximately 2 L of 1X TBE buffer. For each sample, 9 µl (1 µl sample, 1 µl loading buffer with marker dye (50% glycerol, 0.1M EDTA, 0.1% bromophenol blue), 7 µl TE) is loaded. Molecular weight standards are included in the gel. The electrophoresis is conducted at approximately 100 mA for 2-4 hrs. The DNA concentration in each sample is estimated by it's staining intensity relative to the standards. The volume of the DNA sample is adjusted with 1 X TE such that the concentration of the DNA in each sample is about 1 ng/µl.

For each sample a 5 µl aliquot is placed into each well of a Perkin-Elmer MicroAmp Optical 96 Well reaction plates, to which is added 1.5 µl H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.0 µl 10X PCR buffer, 0.04 µl 25 mM dNTPs, 1.0 µl Dye (20mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 20% sucrose, 1 mM Cresol Red), 1.5 µl 1µM mix of forward and reverse primers for each SSR marker, and 0.064 µl of 0.32 units of Taq polymerase. The marker pairs are SEQ ID NO. 1 and SEQ ID NO. 2 for SATT315; SEQ ID NO. 3 and SEQ ID NO 4 for SATT187; SEQ ID NO 5 and SEQ ID NO 6 for SCNB188; SEQ ID NO 7 and SEQ ID NO 8 for Sy50; SEQ ID NO 9 and SEQ ID NO 10 for SCNB187; SEQ ID NO 11 and SEQ ID NO 12 for Sy36; SEQ ID NO 13 and SEQ ID NO 14 for SCNB190; SEQ ID NO 15 and SEQ ID NO 16 for SAT\_212; SEQ ID NO 17 and SEQ ID NO 18 for SAT\_215, Table 1. Polymerase chain reaction is performed with the following thermal cycler conditions, 94°C 4 minutes.; 94°C 25 sec., 47°C 25 sec., 72°C 25 sec., 32 cycles; 72°C 3 minutes for final extension and 4°C hold.

An acrylamide gel is prepared using 56.5 ml water, 3.5 ml 10X TAE buffer, 10.5 ml 40% acrylamide stock solution, 50 µl TEMED, 0.06 g ammonium persulfate. A total of 5 µl of the PCR product is loaded onto the acrylamide gels on 1X TAE buffer. Molecular weight ladders are also loaded onto the gel to facilitate identification of SSR bands. Gels are run at for 45 minutes at 300V. The electrophoresis is stopped when the cresol red dye is at the bottom of the gel. Gels are then stained with SYBR green by mixing 20 µl of 10,000X SYBR green and 200 ml 1X TAE buffer. The mixture should

- be enough to stain 20 gels. Gels are stained for 15-20 minutes with vigorous shaking. The gel bands are then visualized under a UV transilluminator. The PCR reaction product is then scored for the presence or absence of the bands on the appropriate molecular weights of SSR markers spanning the Sy5 yield QTL. The DNA sequence analysis of the PCR products are shown in SEQ ID NOs: 19-25.

**Table 1. SSR primer sequences for molecular markers of the Sy5 locus**

SSR Locus	FORWARD PRIMER	REVERSE PRIMER
Satt315	GCGCGACAACCTCTAATGAAAATCT	GCGGAGTTTGATTTTCAAAGT
Satt187	GCGTTTTAATTTATGATATAACCAA	GCGTTTTATCTCTTTTCCACAAC
SCNB188	ATCAATCGACGCAATAATCAAGAAA	ATGATGAGAAGACAATGGGATGTCA
Sy50	CAGGCTTCAGTGTGCATAATACAGG	TTCTATGTTCCCTGTGCAAACACTG
SCNB187	GTCTGCAAGCTAACAGTGTGAGAGG	CACACTCAATCTCATTAGCAGACACG
Sy36	TCCTTTGGCTCACTATTGACGATT	ACCGTGTGCCACTTTAACTACATT
SCNB190	TAACGCTGCATGATTTGAGTTCTGT	GTATTGGTTGGACTTTGGAGACCAC
Sat_212	GCGGACAATTTTTATCAATAATTTATT	GCGATGCTTACTTTTCCTATGATCACTT
Sat_215	GCGTAGCAACAAAGCAATCTACAG	GCGTCCCATTTTATTCCACACTATGTAAT

## EXAMPLE 2

- 10 *Glycine max* PI290136 or a black seeded donor parent carrying the Sy5 yield locus is crossed between parent soybean line H5050 (Hartz Seed, Stuttgart, Arkansas) and soybean line CX445 (DeKalb® Seed, DeKalb Illinois) and is selected for the Sy5 yield locus and yellow seed color by the protocol shown in Table 2a and 2b.

- 15 **Table 2a. Isoline development for breaking linkage between Sy5 locus and black seed color**

A            D            Cross elite, yellow seed coat Asgrow lines (A) to black seeded donor parent carrying Sy5 QTL.


	F <sub>1</sub>	A	Cross F <sub>1</sub> , which is heterozygous throughout the Sy5 region to the black seeded donor parent
5	BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub>	A	BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plants segregate at a 1:1 ratio for elite (Asgrow line) and donor parent alleles. Genotype BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plants with 2 SSR markers flanking Sy5 (positions based on QTL mapping results). The region between SSR markers covers approximately 15 cM. Select individuals that are heterozygous for both flanking
10			markers and cross to the black seeded donor.
	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub>		BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plants segregate 1:1 in the Sy5 region because all BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> parents are heterozygous. Genotype BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> plants with the same 2 SSR markers flanking Sy5 that are used in the BC <sub>1</sub> F <sub>1</sub> and
15			identify individuals that are heterozygous for both flanking markers.
	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub>		All BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>1</sub> parents are heterozygous throughout the Sy5 region. Genotype BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>2</sub> plants with four SSR markers in the Sy5
20			region and identify plants heterozygous at all four marker loci. Any individuals that could not be confirmed as heterozygotes at all four loci are discarded. Self pollinated seed is harvested
			in bulk from the heterozygotes.
25	BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub>		Seed available for planting-BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> plants, all of which are obtained by selfing individuals heterozygous throughout the Sy5
			region (heterozygous at 4 SSR loci). The BC <sub>2</sub> F <sub>3</sub> generation will segregate in a 1:2:1 ratio at the I locus (II:Ii:ii). Seed will be
30			harvested from yellow plants, (II and Ii), which will segregate in a 1:2 ratio (II:Ii).

BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3;4</sub>

Plant F<sub>3;4</sub> lines derived from yellow seed coat parents. Identify nonsegregating rows for seed color, which arose from homozygous yellow parents. Yellow seed coat parents segregated 2:1 (Ii:II), hence, 1/3 of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3;4</sub> rows will be uniformly yellow seed.

BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> plants genotyped using flanking SSR markers. Desired BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> plants carry one parental gamete throughout the Sy5 region and one recombinant gamete.

**Table 2b. The gametic array resulting from a yellow seeded homozygote**



Array of gametes carrying a dominant 'I' allele in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation produced by a heterozygous BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> parent. Assume a recombination frequency of 0.065 between M<sub>1</sub> and I and between M<sub>4</sub> and I. Assume a recombination frequency of 0.01 between M<sub>2</sub> and I and between M<sub>3</sub> and I. The frequencies of each type of gamete are given below the gametes. Total recombination frequency between M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>4</sub> is 0.15. Gamete 'A' is a parental gamete, while B..E are recombinant gametes. Gametes C and D had recombinants between I and one of the closely flanking markers.

BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3,4</sub> lines are desired that arose from BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> individuals that are homozygous yellow seed coat and contain one parental gamete and one recombinant gamete close to the I locus (gametes C and D above). Homozygous yellow BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> individuals are the result of randomly sampling two gametes of types A..E. Explicit frequencies of all possible BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> genotypes can be found in a 5x5 Punnett square given in Table 2c. Desired individuals carry a single parental gamete (A) and a gamete with a recombination between M<sub>2</sub> and I or between M<sub>3</sub> and I. Four cells in Table 2c correspond to the desired type, each with a frequency of 0.0085. The total frequency of individuals with one parental and one recombinant gamete is  $4 \times 0.0085 = 0.034$ .

Starting with the plants available in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> generation, 0.25 will be homozygous yellow, of which 0.034 will contain one parental and one recombinant gamete. The total frequency of desired BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> plants will be 0.0085. Assuming 2000 homozygous yellow rows in the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3,4</sub> generation, the number of desired rows would be  $2000 \times 0.33 \times 0.034 = 22.7$  individuals. Carrying the frequency of desired BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3,4</sub> rows one step further,  $r$ =number recombination fraction between M<sub>1</sub> and M<sub>4</sub>,  $r_1$ =recombination fraction between M<sub>2</sub> and I, and  $r_2$ =recombination fraction between M<sub>3</sub> and I. Then, out of 2000 rows, the number with the desired genotype will be: desired =  $2000 \times 1/3 \times 2(1-r)(r_1+r_2)$

**Table 2c. Punnett square giving frequencies of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub> genotypes carrying two copies of the dominant yellow allele**

	A	B	C	D	E
A (0.85)	0.7225	0.05525	0.0085	0.0085	0.05525
B (0.065)	0.05525	0.004225	0.00065	0.00065	0.004225
C (0.01)	0.0085	0.00065	0.0001	0.0001	0.00065
D (0.01)	0.0085	0.00065	0.0001	0.0001	0.00065
E (0.065)	0.05525	0.004225	0.00065	0.00065	0.004225

Pollen from the F<sub>1</sub> progeny of that cross are then crossed back to the parent line to generate about 40 BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> progeny. Each BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> progeny is then grown and crossed again to the parent line to generate between 250 and 300 BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> progeny. The BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> progeny

- are grown and leaf samples are taken from each plant for subsequent DNA extraction and molecular marker genotyping. The BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> plants are grown to maturity and genotyped with the molecular markers flanking the Sy5 locus. Nine BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> heterozygote lines for both flanking markers are identified (Table 3). The BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> seeds are collected from each BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> plant then bulked. The resulting seeds from each of BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>-derived progeny are used for yield field trials.

**Table 3. Backcrossed populations containing yellow seeded *Glycine max* with the Sy5 yield locus**

<u>9 BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub>Heterozygotes</u>	<u>27 BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> Heterozygotes</u>
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-29	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-164
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-34	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-186
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-35	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-200
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-36	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-209
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-40	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-354
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-41	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-376
Sy5BC2F1AG3002-43	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-415
Sy5BC2F1AG23701-66	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-457
Sy5BC2F1AG23701-69	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-481
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-514
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-598
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-607
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-720
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-737
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-770
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-795
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-910
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-934
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-1013
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-1028
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-1059
	Sy5BC2F2AG3002-1063
	Sy5BC2F2AG23701-1704
	Sy5BC2F2AG23701-1728



Sy5BC2F2AG23701-1765

Sy5BC2F2AG23701-1819

Sy5BC2F2AG23701-1841

The yield field trial plots are laid out in a random split block design with a single replication, where blocks represent early, mid and late maturity groups to facilitate harvest. There are two-row 16-ft. plots, with the adapted parent, as a border row on each side. Seeding rate is eight seeds per foot. Cultural practices such as herbicide applications and fertilization are carried out following the recommendations for soybean. For example, Lasso (Monsanto, St. Louis, MO) is applied as pre-emergence herbicide at the rate of 3 qt/Acre and Fusilade is applied as post-emergence at the rate of 16 oz/Acre. At harvest, only the test rows are harvested and seed yield is adjusted to 13% moisture content to get the dry yield for each line using the formula: Dry yield = Actual yield x (1-% moisture at harvest)/(1-0.13). Seed yield per plot is converted into yield in bushels per acre using the formula: Plot size/Acre = lb/Acre. For example, yield measured in lbs. from a 16-ft x 5 ft plot is converted to bushels per acre by multiplying it with a factor of 9.075. In all cases, the average percent yield increase of the plants carrying the Sy5 yield QTL derived from PI290136 is statistically significant (Analysis of Variance) higher than that of the plants homozygous for the adapted alleles (Table 4a and 4b).

**Table 4a. First year field test mean yield of Sy5 yield QTL**

Genotype	Mean (bu/Ac) <sup>2</sup>	N <sup>3</sup>	Duncan Multiple range <sup>1</sup>
Homozygous Sy5 QTL	54.35	4	A
Heterozygous Sy5 QTL	53.47	4	AB
Sy5 QTL negative	44.23	4	BC

<sup>1</sup>SAS grouping of statistically significant populations.<sup>2</sup>Yield is measured as dry seed weight in bushels per acre.<sup>3</sup>N is the number of replications

**Table 4b. Second year field test mean yield of Sy5 yield QTL**

<u>Genotype</u>	<u>Mean (bu/Ac)<sup>2</sup></u>	<u>N<sup>3</sup></u>	<u>Duncan Multiple range<sup>1</sup></u>
Homozygous Sy5 QTL	38.25	4	AB
Heterozygous Sy5 QTL	41.30	4	A
Sy5 QTL negative	31.69	4	B

<sup>1</sup>SAS grouping of statistically significant populations.

<sup>2</sup>Yield is measured as dry seed weight in bushels per acre.

<sup>3</sup>N is the number of replications

5 DNA marker analysis is performed among the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> plants. Leaf tissue is collected and DNA extracted from each of the BC<sub>2</sub>F<sub>1</sub> plants. Each line is genotyped with the same two SSR markers flanking the Sy5 locus that are used in the BC<sub>1</sub>F<sub>1</sub> analysis (Table 1).

10 To facilitate the use of this exotic locus in improving yield of commercial cultivars the following procedure can be used. Briefly, a cross can be made with any of the progenies derived from the above described plants and derivatives thereof carrying the exotic Sy5 yield locus with any potential cultivar that one wishes to improve. Using molecular marker analysis described earlier, one can monitor the positive transfer of the exotic yield-enhancing locus by checking the presence of the molecular marker band  
15 corresponding to the SSR markers. Then a series of backcrosses (up to BC<sub>5</sub>) to the commercial cultivar (recurrent parent) can be made to recover most of the agronomic properties of the recurrent parent. Prior to each backcross step, the positive transfer of the exotic alleles has to be validated among backcross-derived progenies (BC<sub>n</sub>F<sub>n</sub>) (where n=generation) using molecular marker analysis as previously described. The number of  
20 backcrosses depends on the level of recurrent parent recovery which can also be facilitated by the use of markers evenly distributed throughout the genome.

Besides increased yield, other phenotypic expressions of the yield QTL from PI290136 can be observed. Increase in *Glycine max* plant height is a phenotypic marker of the QTL as shown in Table 5. When the *Glycine max* genotype is homozygous for  
25 the QTL there is a significant (CV = 5) increase in plant height. The mean values shown

in Table 5 are the averages of the height of the main stem of five plants in two replications of field grown plants. Plant height is a component of yield for soybean.

**Table 5. Comparison of Soybean Plant Height (cm) at Maturity**

5	<u>QTL Genotype</u>	<u>N</u>	<u>Mean*</u>
	Homozygous Sy5 QTL	48	42.18 <sup>A</sup>
	Heterozygous Sy5 QTL	48	40.78 <sup>A</sup>
	Sy5 QTL negative	48	33.05 <sup>B</sup>

\*values with the same letters are not statistically significant (Duncan's multiple range  
10 test)

### EXAMPLE 3

The genetic linkage of marker molecules of the present invention can be established on soybean linkage group U03 by a gene mapping model such as, without  
15 limitation, the flanking marker model reported by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics*, 121:185-199 (1989), and the interval mapping, based on maximum likelihood methods described by Lander and Botstein, *Genetics*, 121:185-199 (1989), and implemented in the software package MAPMAKER/QTL (Lincoln and Lander, *Mapping Genes Controlling Quantitative Traits Using MAPMAKER/QTL*, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical  
20 Research, Massachusetts, (1990). Additional software includes Qgene, Version 2.23 (1996), Department of Plant Breeding and Biometry, 266 Emerson Hall, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY. Use of Qgene software is one such approach.

**Table 6 Genetic linkage of molecular markers on U03 associated with Sy5**

25	<u>Markers</u>	<u>Distance</u>
	1 Satt315	6.9 cM
	2 Sy36	0.6 cM
	XET1	0.3 cM
	3 SCNB187	0.1 cM
30	SAHH	0.1 cM

	4	SCNB188	0.1 cM	chalcone synthase gene cluster
	5	SCNB190	0.1 cM	
	6	Sy50	0.0 cM	chalcone synthase gene cluster
	7	Seedcoat color	1.1 cM	
5	8	Sat_212	0.4 cM	
	9	Satt187	0.1 cM	
	10	Sat_215	-----	
			9.8 cM	

Soybean gene sequences found on U03 to be in genetic linkage with the Sy5 locus  
 10 comprise the S-adenosyl-L-homocystein hydrolase (SAHH) gene (SEQ ID NO:26),  
 xyloglucan endotransglycosylase (XET1) gene (SEQ ID NO:27), and the chalcone  
 synthase gene cluster (SEQ ID NO:28-37). Sequences derived from these genes can be  
 used as molecular markers to track the genetic region containing the Sy5 locus.

#### EXAMPLE 4

15 A BAC Library is constructed from Sy5 QTL containing soybean plant tissue.  
 The single copy BAC vector, pBeloBAC11, is obtained from Dr. Hiroaki Shizuya  
 (Shizuya et al., 1992) and prepared as described by Woo et al. (1994). Megabase soybean  
 DNA embedded in agarose plugs is obtained as described by Zhang et al. (1996) using  
 young greenhouse grown leaves from the Sy5 soybean plant ATCC #PTA-2323 or  
 20 *Glycine max* PI290136 plant. Partial digests of megabase DNA are performed as follows:  
 chopped plugs are distributed in 100 µl aliquots and incubated on ice for 30 minutes with  
 14 µl 10x enzyme buffer, 14 µl 40mM spermidine, and 1.4 µl BSA. After a second 30  
 minutes incubation with 2 units HindIII on ice, digestion reactions are allowed to proceed  
 at 37° C for 30 minutes. Digestions are stopped by placing on ice and adding 1/10  
 25 volume 0.5 M EDTA. Partially digested megabase DNA is subjected to two size  
 selections by pulsed field electrophoresis (CHEF mapper apparatus, BIO-RAD). Initial  
 size selection conditions are; 1% low gelling temperature agarose, 1-50 sec linear ramp, 6  
 volts/cm, 12° C, 22 hour run time, and 0.5X TBE buffer. Two fractions between 120 and  
 350 kb are cut from the gel based on a 50 kb lambda ladder reference (New England  
 30 Biolabs, Beverly, MA). Gel slices are transferred to a second CHEF of similar

composition and run at a constant 4 seconds switch time under similar time and temperature conditions. Two gel slices are excised and DNA is removed from the agarose by electroelution using the BIO-RAD Electro-Eluter (Model No. 422) system. Ligations are performed in 150 µl reactions using 30 ng vector and 300 ng DNA and allowed to proceed for 16 hour at 16°C. After desalting ligations, transformations are performed using 2 µl ligation reaction and 20 µl competent cells (DH10B, Gibco/BRL).

Electroporations are performed on a cell porator with voltage booster (Gibco/BRL) using 320 volts at a resistance of 4 KW. Transformed cells are diluted immediately with 0.5 ml SOC (Sambrook et al., 1989) and incubated at 37° C for 60 minutes before being plated on selective medium (LB, Luria-Bertani medium) with 12.5 µg/µl chloramphenicol, 0.55 mM IPTG, and 80 µg/ml X-Gal. After a 20 hour incubation at 37° C, the plates are placed at room temperature in the dark for an additional 20 hour to allow stronger color development of nonrecombinant colonies. After determining insert sizes of clones, ligations derived from the 225 to 300 kb gel fraction are utilized for additional transformations to construct the library. Recombinant white colonies are picked robotically (Genetix Q-bot) and stored individually in 538 384-well microtiter plates (Genetix) containing 50 µl freezing broth (Woo et al., 1994). After incubation overnight, microtiter plates are stored at -80° C. Three copies of the library are made and stored in separate -80° C freezers.

To prepare BAC DNA for clone characterization, 3 ml LB chloramphenicol (12.5 µg /µl) cultures are grown overnight in 6-cell autogen tubes and miniprepmed robotically (Autogen 740 plasmid isolation system). To estimate insert size and determine distribution of clone size, BAC preps are performed from clones selected at random throughout the library. The BAC DNA is digested with 7.5 units (10 hour at 37° C) of Not I restriction endonuclease (New England Biolabs) and analyzed by pulsed field electrophoresis in 1% agarose gels (6 v/cm, 5-15 sec switch time, 15 h run time, 14° C).

To determine the size distribution of BAC clones in the library, the BACs are analyzed with Not I digests are grouped by insert size and the frequency of each group of clones represented in the library is determined. Based on this analysis, 95% of the clones

in the library should have an average insert size equal to or greater than 100 kb. Of the clones larger than 100 kb, 67% should be equal to or greater than 125 kb.

The BAC inserts are probed by hybridization with the SSR marker DNA molecules and probes to the gene sequences to select BACs that form a contig including the Satt187, Sat\_212, Sy50, chalcone synthase genes sequences, SCNB190, SCNB188, SAHH, SCNB187, XET1, Sy36, and Satt315. There are at least two major methods for identifying BAC clones harboring molecular markers; hybridization to high-density BAC arrays using radioactively labeled probes, and PCR screening of pooled BAC DNA using primers designed from mapped marker sequences. While both methods are based on DNA sequence homology, the former is based on hybridization to the entire probe, and the latter employs primer annealing and subsequent amplification.

For PCR screening, if stringent primer design and PCR conditions are employed, only the BAC clones encompassing the marker sequences are identified. In contrast, BACs harboring sequences that are related, but not identical to the marker sequence, are identified when the BAC libraries are screened by hybridization. In general, PCR screening is more discriminating than hybridization and fewer candidates containing members of gene families and pseudogenes are identified in the screens. On the other hand, screening by hybridization readily permits the use of multiplexed probes, facilitating parallel processing of large numbers of markers. In addition, the generation of pools for PCR screening is labor intensive and only a limited number of pools can be processed in a given day. However, once the pools have been generated and the DNA prepared, there is sufficient DNA available to screen the library with thousands of different primer pairs.

To create working stocks of BAC DNA super-pools and BAC DNA sub-pools for SSR/PCR screening the primers and amplification conditions are selected to permit primer sequence length to be 15-40 nucleotides in length, preferably 20-25 nucleotides, and even more preferably 25-30 nucleotides in length. PCR conditions are based on the product length, ranging from 100- to 250-bp, or 250- to 500-bp, or 500- to 4000-bp. For a 20 µl reaction, 20 ng of genomic DNA is used. Initial PCR screening is carried out using genomic DNA (Sy5 line, 20 ng) as a template, as well as a template from a different

plant species (*Arabidopsis*) as a negative control. The reactions are done in a thermocycler following manufacturers' set up conditions. The BAC library from the soybean line containing Sy5 should generate a PCR product which is identical to that amplified from the genomic DNA template. Negative controls, *Arabidopsis* genomic DNA and dH<sub>2</sub>O, need to be included to ensure that the observed PCR products are not due to contamination or non-template dependent amplification. If the PCR conditions are not optimal, there will either be no DNA band or faint DNA bands will be observed. For BAC pool screening, optimal conditions should produce a strong single band of the predicted size. Once optimal PCR conditions are established, the same conditions will be employed for subsequent screening of the BAC pools.

Following establishment of the PCR conditions, the super-pools are screened. This can be accomplished by using the super-pooled DNA as template and the appropriate primers and PCR conditions that have been optimized for genomic DNA. When screening the super-pools, it is essential to include a reaction containing genomic DNA (positive control) and a reaction containing water (negative control). When positives are observed, the observed band must co-migrate with the cognate band amplified from the genomic template (Sy5 line). Positives that show up on the 2% agarose gel should be considered potential candidate pools. Once positive candidates have been identified, the corresponding sub-pools are screened to identify the BAC clones containing the marker of interest.

Screening sub-pools by PCR identify the clone candidate for that particular marker. The PCR conditions and the master mix formula developed for the super-pool screening are used for screening the respective sub-pools. Optimal screening of the sub-pools should yield a single reaction in each dimension of the sub-pool.

BACs are identified by hybridization to high-density BAC library membranes using marker DNA sequences, such as ESTs, STSs, RFLPs, AFLPs, SSRs and RAPDs. Sequences of the present invention are used to screen the BAC library membranes. In order to positionally clone the Sy5 yield QTL, the BACs are identified that comprise the markers described herein. Based on the identified BACs, chromosomal walking methods are performed that identify adjacent BACs to construct contigs that cover the region of

the Sy5 locus. There are several methods to accomplish this task, including the BAC pooling and the PCR screening method, also hybridization methods offer a quick and efficient means of localizing markers to BAC clones.

- The general process for identification of BACs by hybridization includes
- 5 following procedures: (I) Purification of probes - the probes used for hybridization are usually derived from clones or genomic DNA by either PCR amplification using the vector or gene-specific primers, or digestion of cloned DNA using restriction enzymes. As probes containing any vector or repetitive DNA sequences will cause a high background, isolated DNA fragments may be gel-purified before labeling; (II) First round
  - 10 hybridization to high-density BAC library membranes - in the first round hybridization procedure, multiple probes are labeled separately, then pooled together to hybridize to BAC filters. Positive BACs identified in this procedure are deconvoluted by rehybridization with the individual probes. As some markers have a limited length of non-repetitive DNA sequences, like STS or SSR markers, two hybridization methods are
  - 15 used as a preferred method to identify positive BACs corresponding to marker sequences: random priming labeling and hybridization and the Overgo oligonucleotide labeling and hybridization method. Random priming labeling method is recommended for probes longer than 100 bp, whereas the Overgo oligonucleotide labeling method is used for probes shorter than 50 bp, especially for SSR and STS markers. Combine labelled probes
  - 20 in one tube and denature @ 95°C for 3 min. and add directly to 10 mls of prewarmed (58°C) HyperHyb (Research Genetics) solution. Add equal amounts of the probe/HyperHyb solution to each bottle. Avoid adding directly on the membrane. Incubate for 1.5-2 hours at 58°C in 70 mm bottles in a rotating incubator (10 RPM). Wash filters by adding 100 mls of prewarmed (58°C) 1XSSC,0.1%SDS to each bottle and
  - 25 return to rotating incubator for 15 minutes., repeat two additional times. Remove filters from the bottles and combine into a tub filled with 2 liters of prewarmed (58°C) 0.1XSSC,0.1%SDS. wash for 15 minutes. on rotating platform. Expose filters to x-ray film for 4-24 hours, develop film and identify positive BACs on autoradiograph. Pick identified BACs from the original plates and isolate DNA. Spot isolated DNA onto
  - 30 another membrane and repeat procedure as described above.



5

10

15

20